



Te Kaunihera-ā-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**

AGENDA

INGLEWOOD COMMUNITY BOARD

**Tuesday 14 April 2026
at 1.30pm**

Inglewood Library & Service Centre

Chair	Mr	Donald	McIntyre
Deputy Chair	Mr	Michael	Self
	Mrs	Rebecca	Dearden
	Mr	Greg	Robinson
	Cr	Christine	Fabish

Community Boards

Role of Community Boards (s52 Local Government Act 2002)

- a) represent, and act as an advocate for, the interests of its community; and
- b) consider and report on matters referred by the council and other matters of interest
- c) maintain an overview of services provided by the council within the community; and
- d) prepare an annual submission to the council for expenditure within the community;
- e) communicate with community organisations and special interest groups within the community; and
- f) undertake any other responsibilities that are delegated to it by the territorial authority.

Addressing the Community Board

Requests for public forum and deputations need to be made at least one day prior to the meeting. The Chairperson has authority to approve or decline public comments and deputations in line with the standing order requirements.

Public Forum

Public Forums enable members of the public to bring matters to the attention of the committee which are not contained on the meeting agenda. The matters must relate to the meeting's terms of reference. Speakers can speak for up to 5 minutes, with no more than two speakers on behalf of one organisation.

Deputations

Deputations enable a person, group or organisation to speak to the meeting on matters contained on the agenda. An individual speaker can speak for up to 10 minutes. Where there are multiple speakers for one organisation, a total time limit of 15 minutes, for the entire deputation, applies.

Purpose of Local Government

The reports contained in this agenda address the requirements of the Local Government Act 2002 in relation to decision making. Unless otherwise stated, the recommended option outlined in each report meets the purpose of local government and:

- Promote the social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being of communities in the present and for the future.
- Would not alter significantly the intended level of service provision for any significant activity undertaken by or on behalf of Council, or transfer the ownership or control of a strategic asset to or from Council.

OPENING

The chairperson, or a person authorised by the chairperson will open the meeting.



Health and Safety Message / Te Whaiora me te Marutau

In the event of an emergency, please follow the instructions of Council staff.

Please exit through the nearest safe Emergency exit.

Once outdoors please move to congregate on the grass verge by the railway line.

Staff will guide you to an alternative route if necessary.

If there is an earthquake – drop, cover and hold where possible.

Please remain where you are until further instruction is given.

APOLOGIES / NGĀ MATANGARO

None advised

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST / NGĀ ARA KŌNATUNATU

1. People who fill positions of authority must undertake their duties free from real or perceived bias. Elected members must maintain a clear separation between their personal interests and their duties as an elected member. Failure to do so could invalidate a Council decision and leave the elected member open to prosecution and ouster from office.
2. An elected member is entitled to interact with the Council as a private citizen. However, they cannot use their position as an elected member to gain an advantage not available to the general public.
3. Elected and appointed members will:
 - Declare any interest whether pecuniary or non-pecuniary at a meeting where the interest is relevant to an item on that agenda.
 - Exclude themselves from any informal discussions with elected members relating to a matter they have an interest in.
 - Seek guidance from the Chief Executive if they are unclear of the extent of any interest.
 - Seek guidance or exemption from the Office of the Auditor General if necessary.

ADDRESSING THE MEETING

Requests for public forum and deputations need to be made at least five days prior to the meeting. The Chairperson has authority to approve or decline public comments and deputations in line with the standing order requirements.

PUBLIC FORUM / ĀTEA Ā-WĀNANGA

Public Forums enable members of the public to bring matters to the attention of the committee which are not contained on the meeting agenda. The matters must relate to the meeting's terms of reference. Speakers can speak for up to 5 minutes, with no more than two speakers on behalf of one organisation.

- None advised

DEPUTATIONS / MANUHIRI

Deputations enable a person, group or organisation to speak to the meeting on matters contained on the agenda. An individual speaker can speak for up to 10 minutes. Where there are multiple speakers for one organisation, a total time limit of 15 minutes, for the entire deputation, applies.

- None advised

PREVIOUS COMMITTEE MINUTES / NGĀ MENETI O MUA

Recommendation

That the minutes of the Inglewood Community Board 10 March 2026 (ECM 9728115), and the proceedings of the said meeting, as previously circulated, be taken as read and confirmed as a true and correct record.

REPORTS

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION BY COMMITTEE

- 1 Inglewood Reserves Management Plan Briefing

ITEMS FOR DECISION BY COMMITTEE

- 2 Inglewood Community Board Discretionary Fund – Projects Update Report
- 3 Inglewood Community Board Discretionary Fund – Tarata Saddle Concrete Picnic Table
- 4 Inglewood Community Board Discretionary Fund - Egmont Village Bus Shelter
- 5 Inglewood Community Board - Discretionary Fund - Egmont Village Fingerboard Road Sign
- 6 Setting of Meeting Venues
- 7 Members Activity Report – Michael Self
- 8 Members Activity Report – Rebecca Dearden

INGLEWOOD RESERVES MANAGEMENT PLAN UPDATE BRIEFING

Meeting: Inglewood Community Board
Date of meeting: 14 April 2026
Reporting Officer: Nathan Mourie
ECM: 9747717

PURPOSE / TE WHĀINGA

1. The purpose of this report is to provide the Inglewood Community Board with information on the preparation of a Reserves Management plan for Inglewood parks and reserves.

DISCUSSION / NGĀ MATAPAKI

2. Administering bodies are required under the Reserves Act 1977 (the Act) to prepare Reserve Management Plans (RMP) for all reserves designated under the Act (with the exception of those designated Local Purpose reserves).
3. Council began preparation of a combined plan for all reserves within the Inglewood precinct during the last triennium however for a number of reasons this work was delayed.
4. This briefing seeks to update new board members with work to date, inform of the processes associated with preparing an RMP and commence discussions around the Boards priorities for Inglewood's public open spaces. Officers request that the Board share thoughts with Officers on the best format for community engagement.

NEXT STEPS / NGĀ KOKENGA

5. Officers will progress work on the Inglewood RMP. Further involvement with be requested of the Board as required, and updates will be provided to the Board as necessary.

ATTACHMENTS / NGĀ TĀPIRIHANGA

Attachment 1: Inglewood Reserves Management Plan update presentation (ECM 9747740)



Inglewood Reserves Management Plan

Inglewood Community Board

Parks and Open Space Planning

April 2026

Briefing Purpose



- Relaunch the Inglewood RMP process
- Familiarise new elected members with project and process
- Identify and discuss preliminary issues and opportunities
- Further explore with the Board the best avenues to engage with the Inglewood community



Te Kaunihera-a-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**

Proposed Approach



Part A – Caring for Our Parks Districtwide Considerations

Part B – Shaping Our Parks

Community Precinct & Park Specific Considerations

Bell Block

Inglewood

Lepperton

New Plymouth
EastNew Plymouth
West

Oakura

Okato

Tarata

Te Rewarewa

Tongaporutu

Urenui

Waitara

Pukekura Park

District Cemeteries


Lake Mangamahoe



Te Kaunihera-a-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**

Continuous 10 Year Review Cycle




Te Kaunihera-a-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**

N.B. Year denotes commencement of review. The process may take more than one year to complete. Timing subject to change in response to evolving influences.


Community Precincts



Te Kaunihera-a-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**

Other Reserves



Brief Modern History



1873/74	Land for Inglewood purchased for £5,650 from Māori (equivalent to \$892,000 in Q2 2023)
1873	Moatown Founded
	Name changed to Milton
1874	Land surveyed
1875	Inglewood formally founded
1876	St Andrews Church
1876	Polish immigrants arrive in Wellington on ship Fritz Reuter - 52 trans-shipped to New Plymouth on SS Taupo
1877	Railway connected (Marton-New Plymouth line) the oldest station still on original site in NZ
1877	Henry Brown sawmill opened
1877	Egmont Village School opens
1880	Inglewood Police Station
1885	Inglewood Public School
1886	Inglewood Railway Station
1891	Moa Farmers' Union Ltd
1896	Inglewood population 658
1894	Matai Street fire
1896	Inglewood Horticultural and Poultry Society Established
1901	Inglewood population 719
1902	Inglewood Post Office built
1903	Inglewood Borough Council Incorporated (formerly Town Board)
1904	Inglewood Electric Light and Power Co Ltd
1906	Inglewood population 1152
1908	24 acres of reserves
1921	St John's Peace Memorial Church (designed by Frank Messenger) consecrated
1924	Sorrowing Soldier' war memorial unveiled
1924	Sir Robert Peel hybrid rhododendron planted
1949	Fun Ho! Toys starts
1952-1972	Annual 'Greatest Show on Earth' gala with up to 35,000 visitors
1976	Polish Centennial celebration (Fritz Reuter Polish Settlers Immigration Monument)
1987	Fun Ho! Toys closes
1990	Fun Ho! Museum opens
1991	Moa-Nui Co-operative Dairy Factory closed




Te Kaunihera-a-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**




Draft Timeline



April				May				June				July				August				September				October				November				December											
1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4												
RMP Research & Pre-Work				RMP Pre-Engagement				RMP Drafting				Report RMP Consultation				ICB THT Council				Consultation Commence				Consultation Conclude				Consultation Feedback Review ICB Update Council Hearing				RMP Re-Draft				Report RMP Adoption				ICB Update Council			




Proposed RMP Format



- Context
- Inglewood Precinct
- Reserves Overview
- Community Board
- Focus Areas
- Key Initiatives
- Network Master Plan
- Precinct Policies
- Individual Reserves
 - Map & description
 - Site specific policies & plans
- Minor & Esplanade Reserves
- Appendices


Example Maps




Example Maps



Example Maps



Example Maps



Issues & Opportunities



- Fragmented network connectivity and less open space in NW of town
- Activation of railway land & CBD
- TET aging infrastructure and ad-hoc development
- Wildlife centre integration (Joe Gibbs)
- Urban stream esplanades
- Trimble Park courts
- Rural reserves and halls viability
- Fritz Reuter purpose/usability

Are there other things to consider?

- Private residents on reserves (PG Nops)
- Neighbourhood play opportunities
- Addressing property matters



Te Kaunihera-a-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**

Project Ideas?



- Destination playground
- Biodiversity & restoration
- Predator/cat free Inglewood
- Covered stadium
- Egmont Rec Reserve development
- Rationalisation (divestment)
- Giant moa statue
- CBD/railway/intersections combined upgrades/master plan (multiple admins)
- Mountain gateway

What projects do you want to see discussed in the draft RMP?



Te Kaunihera-a-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**

Named Parks



Area	Park
Inglewood	CBD Area (statue & bandstand)?
	Fritz Reuter Place
	Inglewood Cemetery*
	Joe Gibbs Reserve
	Jubilee Park
	Karo Park
	Konini St Reserve
	PG Nops Scenic Reserve
	Railway Reserve
	Te Kowhai Park
	Towai St Reserve
	Trimble Park
	William Franklyn Reserve
Kaimiro	Bedford Rd North
Egmont Village	Egmont Village Rec Reserve
	Mangapouri Cemetery*
Mangorei	Lake Mangamahoe*
	Meeting of the Waters
Tariki	Durham Rd Hall
	Tariki Recreation Reserve
Huirangi	Huirangi Cemetery*
Kaimata	Kaimata Domain
Te Henui	Upper Mangorei Domain

Any
comments
on
specific
parks?



Te Kaunihera-a-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**

Identified Stakeholders



- Local Communities
- TET Trustees
- Lessees
 - Clubs
 - Education
 - Private Residents
 - Graziers
- Formal users (ground and building bookings)
- Ngāti Maru & Te Ātiawa
- Kiwirail
- NZTA/Waka Kotahi?

Have we
missed
anyone?



Te Kaunihera-a-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**

Engagement Options



- Pop-up locations
- Activities on parks
- Individual/Stakeholder discussions
- Online feedback portal

Any other
engagement
avenues?



Te Kaunihera-a-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**

Other Questions



- What are your priorities?
- How can we best engage communities around rural reserves? E.g. Mangorei, Bedford, Tariki
- Are there any specific questions you would like to ask during engagement?
- Are there any behaviour issues etc. on reserves to address?
- What is your biggest hope/bugbear/concern around the open space network?
- Can/should this link into/contribute to wider spatial planning and projects?

Thoughts
that don't
fit
elsewhere?



Te Kaunihera-a-Rohe o Ngāmotu

**New Plymouth
District Council**

Next Steps



- Targeted engagement with key partners and stakeholders
- Finalising key issues and opportunities
- Preparation of draft RMP



Key Contacts



- Nathan Mourie
Open Spaces Planner
- Liezl Ebersohn
Strategic Planning Coordinator



INGLEWOOD COMMUNITY BOARD DISCRETIONARY FUND – PROJECTS UPDATE REPORT

PURPOSE/ TE WHĀINGA

1. The purpose of this report is to provide a progress report on Community Board Discretionary Fund (CBDF) projects to 30 March 2026.

RECOMMENDATION/NGĀ WHAIKUPU

That, having considered all matters raised in the report, the report be noted.

SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT/TOHUTOHU KAI WHAKAHAERE

2. This report is provided for information purposes only, and has been assessed as being of some importance.

BACKGROUND/WHAKAPAPA

3. This report provides an update on the CBDF projects for the Board since their previous meeting of 10 March 2026.

CBDF PROJECTS – ANNUAL FINANCIAL YEAR ALLOCATIONS

4. In line with the Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 (LTP), Council has allocated \$150,000 (GST excl) to each Community Board per financial year (FY).
5. Appendix 2 (Allocation Summary Tables) indicates a tabled summary of how each financial year's allocated funding has been expended, for the previous 2024/2025 FY and the current 2025/2026 FY.

CBDF PROJECTS – CURRENT STATUS

6. Appendix 1 (Milestones Report) indicates the current state of all Community Board projects which the Board have either approved initial project investigations and scoping, or have approved allocation of CBD funds for project completion.

CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACT AND CONSIDERATIONS/HURINGA ĀHUARANGI

7. Matters relating to climate change will be considered and reported on at the time individual projects are approved.
-

NEXT STEPS/HĪKOI I MURI MAI

8. Further updates will be provided at future meetings. Matters requiring a decision on specific projects will be considered through separate reporting.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCING IMPLICATIONS/NGĀ HĪRAUNGA Ā-PŪTEA, Ā-RAUEMI

9. There are no financial or resourcing implications.

IMPLICATIONS ASSESSMENT/HĪRANGA AROMATAWAI

10. This report confirms that the matter concerned has no particular implications and has been dealt with in accordance with the Local Government Act 2002. Specifically:

- Council staff have delegated authority for any decisions made;
- Council staff have identified and assessed all reasonably practicable options for addressing the matter and considered the views and preferences of any interested or affected persons (including Māori), in proportion to the significance of the matter;
- Council staff have considered how the matter will promote the social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being of communities in the present and the future.
- Unless stated above, any decisions made can be addressed through current funding under the Long-Term Plan and Annual Plan;
- Any decisions made are consistent with the Council's plans and policies; and
- No decisions have been made that would alter significantly the intended level of service provision for any significant activity undertaken by or on behalf of the Council, or would transfer the ownership or control of a strategic asset to or from the Council.

APPENDICES/NGĀ ĀPITI HANGA

Appendix 1 Inglewood Community Board – CBDF Projects Milestone Report (ECM 9749018)

Appendix 2 Inglewood Community Board – CBDF Financial Allocation Summary Tables (ECM 9749019)

Report Details

Prepared By:	Mike Coronno (Strategic Planning Coordinator)
Team:	Strategic Planning
Approved By:	Renee Davies (Manager Strategic Planning)
Ward/Community:	Kōhanga Moa Ward / Inglewood Community
Date:	30 March 2026
File Reference:	ECM 9749017

-----End of Report-----

Inglewood Community Board - Discretionary Fund Projects Update Report

Category	Project	Status	Milestones	Status	Completion %
2024/2025FY - Project in Delivery	TET Stadium - accessibility pathway	Closed Out	Contractors scheduled Contractor works for pathway commenced Pathway completion >50% Invoices received accounting for ICB funding amount Project is financially complete	Complete Complete Complete Complete Complete	100%
2024/2025FY - Project in Delivery	Inglewood Community AEDs (TET Stadium & Tarata Hall)	Closed Out	Site visit to preferred AED locations (TET Stadium & Tarata) Final AED locations agreed (NPDC & Tarata, TET) AED units ordered/, received by NPDC PM AED units installed, registered & available for public use Project is financially complete	Complete Complete Complete In Progress Complete	95%
2025/2026FY - Project under Investigation	Tarata Saddle - concrete picnic table	Project Scoping	Location for table finalised Funding approved by Inglewood CB All procurement actions completed Contractors engaged; operational works completed Project financially complete	In Progress In Progress	15%
2025/2026FY - Project under Investigation	Egmont Village businesses roadsign	Project Scoping	Location for road sign identified NZTA approval received All procurement actions completed Contractors engaged; operational works completed Project financially complete	In Progress In Progress	15%
2025/2026FY - Project under Investigation	Egmont Village bus shelter	Project Scoping	Location for bus shelter identified NZTA approval received All procurement actions completed Contractors engaged; operational works completed Project financially complete	In Progress In Progress	15%

Community Board Discretionary Funding - Funds Allocation

2024/2025 Financial Year

Community Board		Inglewood		All figures below are GST exclusive		
Proposal / Project	Approval Date	Status	Cost Forecast	Funds Approved	Funds Invoiced to Date	
1 Windsor Walkway - Additional Bench Seat	21 Aug 2024	Closed Out	\$ -	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,262	
2 Jubilee Park Shade Sail - Junior Swings (Additional Funding)	02 Oct 2024	Closed Out	\$ -	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,435	
3 TET Stadium - accessibility pathway	19 Mar 2025	Closed Out	\$ -	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	
4 Egmont Road (Egmont Village) footpath extension	28 May 2025	Closed Out	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ 28,000	
5 Inglewood CBD communal street hubs - All Sites	25 Jun 2025	In Delivery	\$ -	\$ 68,000	\$ 15,003	
6 Inglewood Community AEDs (TET Stadium & Tarata Hall)	25 Jun 2025	Closed Out	\$ -	\$ 7,000	\$ 6,076	
2024-2025 CBDF Annual Allocation		\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ 147,400	\$ 91,776	
2024-2025 CBDF Carryover		\$ 52,997	0.0%	98.3%	61.2%	
				-\$ 2,600	-\$ 58,224	

2025/2026 Financial Year

Community Board		Inglewood		All figures below are GST exclusive		
Proposal / Project	Approval Date	Status	Cost Forecast	Funds Approved	Funds Invoiced to Date	
1 Tarata Saddle - concrete picnic table		Project Scoping	\$ 8,000	\$ -		
2 Egmont Village businesses roadsign		Project Scoping	\$ 3,000	\$ -		
3 Egmont Village bus shelter		Project Scoping	\$ 66,000	\$ -		
4						
Security cameras - Inglewood CBD	* not a CBDF project	sub-totals	\$ 77,000	\$ -	\$ -	
CBDF Allocation (Total)		\$ 150,000	CBDF Allocation (Remaining)	\$ 150,000	0.0%	

INGLEWOOD COMMUNITY BOARD DISCRETIONARY FUND – TARATA SADDLE CONCRETE PICNIC TABLE

MATTER/TE WHĀINGA

1. The matter for consideration by the Inglewood Community Board is the allocation of funds from the 2025/2026 Community Board Discretionary Fund (CBDF) for the procurement and installation of a concrete picnic table to be installed near the top of Tarata Saddle on Tarata Road, Tarata.

RECOMMENDATION FOR CONSIDERATION/NGĀ WHAIKUPU

That having considered all matters raised in the report, the Inglewood Community Board;

- a) **Approves allocation of up to \$8,000.00 (excl GST) from the 2025/2026 Discretionary Fund for the procurement and installation of a concrete picnic table to be installed near the top of Tarata Saddle on Tarata Road, Tarata.**
- b) **Appoint _____ (Inglewood Community Board member) to be the point of contact for this proposal.**

COMPLIANCE/TŪTOHU			
Significance	This matter is assessed as being of some importance.		
Options	This report identifies and assesses the following reasonably practicable options for addressing the matter: 1. Approve allocation of up to \$8,000.00 (excl GST) for the procurement and installation of a concrete picnic table to be installed near the top of Tarata Saddle on Tarata Road, Tarata. - OR 2. Do not approve allocation of funds for this proposal.		
Affected persons	The persons who are affected by or interested in this matter are the Inglewood community and surrounding area.		
Recommendation	This report recommends Option 1 for addressing the matter.		
Long-Term Plan / Annual Plan Implications	No	Significant Policy and Plan Inconsistencies	No

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/WHAKARĀPOPOTOTANGA MATUA

2. It is recommended that the Inglewood Community Board approve allocation of up to \$8,000.00 (excl GST) from the Board's 2025/2026 CBDF for the procurement and installation of a concrete picnic table to be installed near the top of Tarata Saddle on Tarata Road, Tarata.
3. The proposed location of the concrete table is indicated below in Figures 1 & 2 below, and also as per this weblink; [Tarata Road, Tarata \(Google Maps\)](#). The exact location will be determined by Council officers, after engagement with key stakeholders and taking into account road user safety concerns, existing infrastructure in the immediate area, and any other key requirements.




Figure 1 - Proposed location of concrete picnic table on Tarata Road, Tarata (Wide view)




Figure 2 - Proposed location of concrete picnic table on Tarata Road, Tarata (Close view)

4. If approved, the assigned Inglewood Community Board member will be tasked with being the sole point of contact for Council Officers for the duration of this project and all matters relating to this project.

BACKGROUND/WHAKAPAPA

5. This proposal was brought to the attention of Council officers as part of a Discretionary Fund meeting with the newly elected Inglewood Community Board (ICB) in February 2026.
6. The primary purpose for this proposal is to provide a location for road users, cyclists and visitors to the area to stop and enjoy the area and views, as well as an acknowledgment that there was an existing rest area at this location but little else in terms of facilities.
7. There are two options with the concrete table – polished or non-polished. The concrete picnic tables weigh approximately five tonnes each; as a result, freight costs will be noticeably high due to the weight of this item.



Figure 3 - Concrete Picnic Table intended for Tarata Saddle

Estimated Costings

8. The current global situation regarding unstable fuel supply and subsequent rising fuel costs has meant that costs relating to freight are an estimation only. Suppliers are indicating the current unpredictability around fuel availability means it is difficult to accurately price freight until time of shipping.
9. There may be potential for reducing freight costs if transporting the concrete table can be aligned with freighting of other components to New Plymouth from the same supplier. While this will be investigated by the project manager, this potential cost reduction is not guaranteed.

10. Taking into account the two table options, and the uncertain freight costs, this proposal has been costed as follows;

Concrete Table – Pricing	Cost (GST excl)
Concrete picnic table (non-polished)	~\$5,350.00
Concrete picnic table – (polished)	~\$6,000.00
Freight to New Plymouth (estimate only – see point 8)	~\$1,200.00 to \$1,800.00
Maximum Recommended Funding Approval Amount	\$8,000.00

CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACT AND CONSIDERATIONS/HURINGA ĀHUARANGI

11. There are no climate change impacts identified with this proposal.

NEXT STEPS/HĪKOI I MURI MAI

12. If approved, Council Officers will engage with relevant contractors and key stakeholders to plan the procurement and operational elements of this project.
13. Progress on this project and funds expended will be reported back to the Community Board at future meetings.

SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT/KAUPAPA WHAKAHIRAHIRA

14. In accordance with the Council's Significance and Engagement Policy, this matter has been assessed as being of some importance and has no negative impact on the Council's level of service as stated in the LTP.

OPTIONS/KŌWHIRINGA

15. There are two reasonably practicable options:

Option 1 Allocate funds for the procurement and installation of a concrete picnic table to be installed near the top of Tarata Saddle on Tarata Road, Tarata.

Option 2 Do not allocate funds for this project.

Both options have been assessed together below.

Financial and Resourcing Implications/Ngā Hīraunga ā-pūtea, ā-rauemi

- 16. Council has allocated funding of \$150,000 (excl GST) per financial year (FY) to each of the district’s five Community Boards, throughout the ten-year timeframe of the Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 (LTP).
- 17. At the time of writing this report, Inglewood Community Board have \$150,000 (excl GST) available for allocation from their 2025/2026FY CBDF funding amount.
- 18. Any unused funds at the completion of the project will be available for the Inglewood Community Board to re-allocate to other community board proposals, subject to the 30 June end of financial year date.
- 19. As per standard Council practice, funds from the 2025/2026FY CBDF allocation which remain unallocated after 30 June 2026 become part of Council’s overall operating surplus.

Risk Analysis/Tātaritanga o Ngā Mōrearea

- 20. There may be a risk that this asset is vandalised or damaged in the same manner that previous picnic tables are subject to. A concrete table is less able to be damaged or vandalised, as it is a more resilient material.

Promotion or Achievement of Community Outcomes/Hāpaitia/Te Tutuki o Ngā Whāinga ā-hāpori

- 21. Option 1 aligns with the following Goals (Community Outcomes) from the Inglewood Community Board Plan (2023-2026);

Goals (Community Outcomes)	
Environmental Excellence	• Delivering resilient infrastructure efficiently
Thriving Communities and Culture	• Connected and engaged communities
Trusted	• Strengthening Te Tiriti partnerships with hapū and iwi to improve wellbeing.

Statutory Responsibilities/Ngā Haepapa ā-ture

- 22. Option 1 is consistent with Council’s statutory obligations and legal requirements.

-
- 23. Option 1 is consistent with the Local Government Act 2002, which requires councils to promote the social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being of their communities.

Consistency with Policies and Plans/Te Paria i ngā Kaupapa Here me ngā Mahere

- 24. Option 1 is consistent with the Community Board Discretionary Funding Framework (ECM 9631694).
- 25. Option 1 is closely-aligned with Focus Area 4: Social and Physical Connectivity in the Inglewood Community Board Plan (2023-2026) – “building and enhancing connectivity within our community.”

Participation by Māori/Te Urunga o Ngāi Māori

- 26. As kaitiaki of the rohe/area which this concrete table is proposed to be installed, Ngāti Maru have been consulted, and a verbal update will be provided to the Board by Officers at the meeting on their response to the proposed picnic table.
- 27. No operational works will commence until approval from Ngāti Maru has been received for this proposal, as reflective of their status of mana whenua and kaitiaki of this site.

Advantages and Disadvantages/Ngā Huanga me Ngā Taumahatanga.

- 28. The installation of a table at the Tarata Saddle will provide a cost-effective community asset at a strategic point on Tarata Road for road users, cyclists and members of the Tarata and Inglewood community to stop, rest and take in the views of the Taranaki landscape.

Disadvantages

- 29. While Option 2 preserves the full discretionary budget and avoids immediate capital costs, it also misses a critical opportunity to enhance community engagement and may be perceived as inconsistent with the Board’s commitment to community wellbeing priorities as well as a missed opportunity to enhance local economic, sustainability and creative outcomes.

Recommended Option This report recommends Option 1 for addressing the matter.

Report Details

Prepared By:	Mike Coronno (Strategic Programme Coordinator)
Team:	Strategic Planning
Approved By:	Renee Davies (Manager Strategic Planning)
Ward/Community:	Kōhanga Moa Ward/Inglewood Community
Date:	29 March 2026
File Reference:	ECM 9748968

-----*End of Report*-----

INGLEWOOD COMMUNITY BOARD DISCRETIONARY FUND – EGMONT VILLAGE BUS SHELTER

MATTER/TE WHĀINGA

1. The matter for consideration by the Inglewood Community Board is the allocation of funds from the 2025/2026 Community Board Discretionary Fund (CBDF) for the procurement and installation of a bus shelter at Egmont Village.

RECOMMENDATION FOR CONSIDERATION/NGĀ WHAIKUPU

That having considered all matters raised in the report, the Inglewood Community Board;

- a) **Approves allocation of up to \$66,000.00 (excl GST) from 2025/2026 Discretionary Fund for the procurement and installation of a bus shelter at Egmont Village.**
- b) **Appoint _____ (Inglewood Community Board member) to be the point of contact for this proposal.**

COMPLIANCE/TŪTOHU			
Significance	This matter is assessed as being of some importance.		
Options	This report identifies and assesses the following reasonably practicable options for addressing the matter: 1. Approve allocation of up to \$66,000.00 (excl GST) for the procurement and installation of a bus shelter at Egmont Village. - OR 2. Do not approve allocation of funds for this proposal.		
Affected persons	The persons who are affected by or interested in this matter are the Inglewood community and surrounding area.		
Recommendation	This report recommends Option 1 for addressing the matter.		
Long-Term Plan / Annual Plan Implications	No	Significant Policy and Plan Inconsistencies	No

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/WHAKARĀPOPOTOTANGA MATUA

2. The primary purpose for this proposal is to provide a designated bus stop and shelter for the Egmont Village community, as there is currently none. This proposed bus stop is intended to connect to existing Regional Connector and school bus routes.
3. The recommended proposed location for the bus stop and shelter is adjacent to the northbound lane of Junction Road (State Highway 3), just north of the intersection with Egmont Road in Egmont Village, in the area of land between 1288 Egmont Road (Bloc Group Ltd) and 1028 Junction Road.



Figure 1 - State Highway 3, northbound lane (Google Maps)

4. If approved, the assigned Inglewood Community Board member will be tasked with being the sole point of contact for Council Officers for the duration of this project and all matters relating to this project.

BACKGROUND/WHAKAPAPA

5. This proposal was brought to the attention of Council officers as part of a Discretionary Fund meeting with the newly elected Inglewood Community Board (ICB) in February 2026.

NZTA/Waka Kotahi (NZTA) – State Highway Future Planning

6. As the recommended location for the bus shelter is on a State Highway that has a speed limit over 65km/h (i.e. 70km/hr), the delegated authority to make changes to on-street parking (ie: bus stops) and install street furniture (i.e. bus shelters) sits with NZTA, not with Council.
7. Any approval to allocate Community Board funds for this proposal is separate to approval and commencement of this proposal from NZTA, including construction of the bus shelter, the final location of the bus stop and shelter, as well as the timing for any operational works for this proposal, which would be subject to NZTA's own internal works programme and project prioritisation, neither of which Council has control over.
8. Council and NZTA have been involved in ongoing discussions regarding the future construction of a roundabout at the Junction Road (SH3)/Egmont Road intersection. No final designs or timeframes have yet been advised, and this proposal could be some time away from confirmation; however, were this roading project to be confirmed, there is a likelihood that the proposed bus shelter may need to be relocated as a result. Should this eventuate, any relocation and re-installation costs of this proposed bus stop and bus shelter would need to be met by Inglewood Community Board.

Estimated Costings

9. Exact pricing is difficult to determine as costs are dependent on the positioning of the foundation slab and bus shelter, as well as traffic management costs. Traffic Management is likely to be the largest cost element of this proposal, costs of which are likely to be increased as the proposed location is on a state highway.
10. After liaising with Council Officers and external parties, the following cost estimate has been developed for this proposal;

Item	Cost (excl GST)
Bus Shelter – purchase only	~\$15,000.00
Foundation/concrete slab	~\$5,000.00
Traffic Management	~\$20,000.00 – \$30,000.00
Bus Shelter – installation only	~\$5,000.00
Contingency (20%)	~\$9,000.00 – \$11,000.00
Total costings	~\$54,000.00 – \$66,000.00

11. While freight costs are not expected to be a large component of this proposal, the current global situation regarding unstable fuel supply and subsequent rising fuel costs means that Council suppliers have indicated that any freight costs incurred are an estimation only, with final freight costs only being advised at time of shipping.

12. While noting that there are no guarantees, if this proposal is approved the project manager will work with suppliers and external third parties to identify opportunities for reducing any freight costs.

CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACT AND CONSIDERATIONS/HURINGA ĀHUARANGI

13. There are no climate change impacts identified with this proposal.

NEXT STEPS/HĪKOI I MURI MAI

14. If approved, the project manager will liaise with NZTA and work towards seeking approval to install a bus stop and shelter on NZTA managed road reserve.
15. Once NZTA approval is granted, Council Officers will obtain a more detailed cost for this proposal and will engage with relevant contractors and key stakeholders to plan the procurement and installation of this project.
16. Progress on this project and funds expended will be reported back to the Community Board at future meetings.

SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT/KAUPAPA WHAKAHIRAHIRA

17. In accordance with the Council's Significance and Engagement Policy, this matter has been assessed as being of some importance and has no negative impact on the Council's level of service as stated in Council's 2024-2034 LTP.

OPTIONS/KŌWHIRINGA

18. There are two reasonably practicable options:

Option 1 Approve allocation of Discretionary Funds for this proposal

Option 2 Do not approve funds for this proposal

Both options have been assessed together below.

Financial and Resourcing Implications/Ngā Hīraunga ā-pūtea, ā-rauemi

19. Council has allocated funding of \$150,000 (excl GST) per financial year (FY) to each of the district's five Community Boards, throughout the ten-year timeframe of the Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 (LTP).

20. At the time of writing this report, Inglewood Community Board have \$150,000 (excl GST) available for allocation from their 2025/2026FY CBDF funding amount, subject to the following funding approval reports also being presented as part of the 14 April 2026 Community Board meeting agenda;
- a) Tarata Saddle concrete picnic table
 - b) Egmont Village fingerboard road sign
21. Any unused funds at the completion of the project will be available for the Inglewood Community Board to re-allocate to other community board proposals, subject to the 30 June end of financial year date.
22. As per standard Council practice, funds from the 2025/2026FY CBDF allocation which remain unallocated after 30 June 2026 become part of Council's overall operating surplus.

Risk Analysis/Tātaritanga o Ngā Mōrearea

23. There may be a risk that this asset is vandalised or damaged in the same manner that previous picnic tables are subject to. A concrete table is less able to be damaged or vandalised, as it is a more resilient material.

Promotion or Achievement of Community Outcomes/Hāpaitia/Te Tutuki o Ngā Whāinga ā-hāpori

24. Option 1 aligns with the following Focus Areas from the Inglewood Community Board Plan 2023-2026 (ECM 9007040);

Focus Areas	
Rural and Urban Planning	• Ensure appropriate infrastructure to serve a growing community
Social and Physical Connectivity	• Building and enhancing connectivity within our community
Quality Infrastructure	• Ensuring our infrastructure is fit for purpose, now and in the future

Statutory Responsibilities/Ngā Haepapa ā-ture

25. Option 1 is consistent with Council's statutory obligations and legal requirements.
26. Option 1 is consistent with the Local Government Act 2002, which requires councils to promote the social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being of their communities.

Consistency with Policies and Plans/Te Paria i ngā Kaupapa Here me ngā Mahere

27. Option 1 is consistent with the Community Board Discretionary Funding Framework (ECM 9631694).

Participation by Māori/Te Urunga o Ngāi Māori

28. There has been no participation sought by Council Officers from iwi/hapu to date regarding this proposal.

Advantages and Disadvantages/Ngā Huanga me Ngā Taumahatanga.

29. The installation of a bus stop and bus shelter will be an improvement to the level of public transport service and public infrastructure to the Egmont Village community.
30. The addition of a bus shelter will contribute to community infrastructure which is both fit for purpose and provides increased social connectivity and a more cohesive and accessible transport network.

Disadvantages

31. While Option 2 preserves the full discretionary budget and avoids immediate capital costs, it also misses a critical opportunity to enhance community engagement and may be perceived as inconsistent with the Board's commitment to community wellbeing priorities as well as a missed opportunity to enhance local economic, sustainability and creative outcomes.

Recommended Option

This report recommends **Option 1** for addressing the matter.

Report Details

Prepared By:	Mike Coronno (Strategic Programme Coordinator)
Team:	Strategic Planning
Approved By:	Renee Davies (Manager Strategic Planning)
Ward/Community:	Kōhanga Moa Ward/Inglewood Community
Date:	30 March 2026
File Reference:	ECM 9749680

-----End of Report -----

INGLEWOOD COMMUNITY BOARD DISCRETIONARY FUND – EGMONT VILLAGE FINGERBOARD ROADSIGN

MATTER/TE WHĀINGA

1. The matter for consideration by the Inglewood Community Board is the allocation of funds from the 2025/2026 Community Board Discretionary Fund (CBDF) for the procurement and installation of a fingerboard road sign near the intersection of State Highway 3 and Egmont Road, Egmont Village.

RECOMMENDATION FOR CONSIDERATION/NGĀ WHAIKUPU

That having considered all matters raised in the report, the Inglewood Community Board;

- a) **Approves allocation of up to \$3,000.00 (excl GST) from the 2025/2026 Discretionary Fund for the procurement and installation of a fingerboard road sign near the intersection of State Highway 3 and Egmont Road, Egmont Village.**
- b) **Appoint a _____ (Inglewood Community Board member) to be the point of contact for this proposal.**

COMPLIANCE/TŪTOHU			
Significance	This matter is assessed as being of some importance.		
Options	This report identifies and assesses the following reasonably practicable options for addressing the matter: 1. Approve allocation of up to \$3,000.00 (excl GST) for the procurement and installation of a fingerboard road sign near the intersection of State Highway 3 and Egmont Road, Egmont Village. - OR 2. Do not approve allocation of funds for this proposal.		
Affected persons	The persons who are affected by or interested in this matter are the Inglewood community and surrounding area.		
Recommendation	This report recommends Option 1 for addressing the matter.		
Long-Term Plan / Annual Plan Implications	No	Significant Policy and Plan Inconsistencies	No

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/WHAKARĀPOPOTOTANGA MATUA

2. The Board proposes that a multi-signed fingerboard road sign be procured and installed at or the intersection of State Highway 3 and Egmont Road, Egmont Village (as per Figure 1 below).
3. The primary intent of this proposal is to identify the use of road signage to highlight community facilities available to road users and visitors to the area of Egmont Village.



Figure 1 - Road map showing intersection of SH3 and Egmont Road, Egmont Village (Google Maps)

4. If approved, the assigned Inglewood Community Board member will be tasked with being the sole point of contact for Council Officers for the duration of this project and all matters relating to this project.

BACKGROUND/WHAKAPAPA

5. This proposal was brought to the attention of Council officers as part of a Discretionary Fund meeting with the newly-elected Inglewood Community Board (ICB) in February 2026.
6. The installation of fingerboards on the state highway network falls under the jurisdiction of NZTA/Waka Kotahi and as such requires an application from Council for approval.

NZTA/Waka Kotahi Fingerboards

7. The type of general information road signs used for providing road users with information regarding public amenities and community facilities are referred to as fingerboards, which follow design and dimensions as per Figure 2.




Figure 2 - NZTA/Waka Kotahi Fingerboard Colour Scheme and Individual Sign Dimensions

8. A key NZTA/Waka Kotahi (NZTA) requirement of installing fingerboard signs is that they should be located at intersections, and that "at any one location, there should be no more than four signs of any type, including finger boards, intersection direction, services, tourist, street name or information signs pointing in any one direction, and no more than six in total facing road users on the approach to the post or pole holding the signs." This means no more than four individual fingerboard signs can be installed at any one road network intersection.
9. Fingerboard signs are generally non-commercial in nature and seek to indicate
 - a) community facilities and public amenities
 - b) destinations of local importance, or
 - c) topographical/land features.
10. Any fingerboard signs are to be approved by Council, in its capacity as the local roading authority.

Estimated Costings

11. Exact costings for individual fingerboards are dependent on the number of letters on each sign. For this proposal, a best estimate has been worked out based on signage for the following four public amenities/community facilities;
 - a) Inglewood Community Centre
 - b) Pet Crematorium
 - c) Egmont Village Campground
 - d) Clay Shooting & Archery
12. Final costings will be determined once Inglewood Community Board has decided on the public amenities/community facilities to be identified.
13. While there aren't likely to be any major freight costs with this proposal, the current global situation regarding unstable fuel supply and subsequent rising fuel costs has meant that costs relating to freight are an estimation only. Suppliers are indicating the current unpredictability around fuel availability means it is difficult to accurately price freight until time of shipping.

CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACT AND CONSIDERATIONS/HURINGA ĀHUARANGI

14. There are no climate change impacts identified with this proposal.

NEXT STEPS/HĪKOI I MURI MAI

15. If approved, Council Officers will engage with relevant contractors and key stakeholders to plan the procurement and operational elements of this project.
16. Progress on this project and funds expended will be reported back to the Community Board at future meetings.

SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT/KAUPAPA WHAKAHIRAHIRA

17. In accordance with the Council's Significance and Engagement Policy, this matter has been assessed as being of some importance and has no negative impact on the Council's level of service as stated in Council's 2024-20234 LTP.
-

OPTIONS/KŌWHIRINGA

18. There are two reasonably practicable options:

Option 1 Approve allocation of Discretionary Funds for this proposal

Option 2 Do not approve allocation of Discretionary Funds for this proposal

Both options have been assessed together below.

Financial and Resourcing Implications/Ngā Hiraunga ā-pūtea, ā-rauemi

19. Council has allocated funding of \$150,000 (excl GST) per financial year (FY) to each of the district's five Community Boards, throughout the ten-year timeframe of the 2024-2034 Long-Term Plan (LTP).
20. At the time of writing this report, Inglewood Community Board have \$150,000 (excl GST) available for distribution from their 2025/2026FY CBDF funding allocation, subject to the following funding approval reports also being presented as part of 14 April 2026 Community Board meeting agenda;
 - a) Tarata Saddle concrete picnic table
 - b) Egmont Village bus shelter
21. Any unused funds at the completion of the project will be available for the Inglewood Community Board to re-allocate to other community board proposals, subject to the 30 June end of financial year date.
22. As per standard Council practice, funds from the 2025/2026FY CBDF allocation which remain unallocated after 30 June 2026 become part of Council's overall operating surplus.

Risk Analysis/Tātaritanga o Ngā Mōrearea

23. There may be a risk that this asset is vandalised or damaged in the same manner that previous picnic tables are subject to. A concrete table is less able to be damaged or vandalised, as it is a more resilient material.

Promotion or Achievement of Community Outcomes/Hāpaitia/Te Tutuki o Ngā Whāinga ā-hāpori

24. Option 1 aligns with the following Goals (Community Outcomes) from the Inglewood Community Board Plan 2023-2026 (ECM 9007040);

Goal (Community Outcome)	
Environmental Excellence	• Delivering resilient infrastructure efficiently
Thriving Communities and Culture	• Connected and engaged communities

Statutory Responsibilities/Ngā Haepapa ā-ture

25. Option 1 is consistent with Council's statutory obligations and legal requirements.
26. Option 1 is consistent with the Local Government Act 2002, which requires councils to promote the social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being of their communities.

Consistency with Policies and Plans/Te Paria i ngā Kaupapa Here me ngā Mahere

27. Option 1 is consistent with the Community Board Discretionary Funding Framework (ECM 9631694).
28. Option 1 aligns with the following Focus Areas from the Inglewood Community Board Plan 2023-2026 (ECM 9007040);

Focus Area	
Rural and Urban Planning	• Ensures appropriate infrastructure, retrofitted where possible to serve a growing community
Social and Physical Connectivity	• Ensures there are strong transport links and infrastructure to ensure safe passage within and through our community

Participation by Māori/Te Urunga o Ngāi Māori

29. There has been no participation sought from iwi/hapu by Council Officers to date regarding this proposal.

Advantages and Disadvantages/Ngā Huanga me Ngā Taumahatanga.

30. The installation of a fingerboard roadsign at this location will assist in highlighting local facilities available at Egmont Village to visitors and road users, as well as strengthening transport links, as well as further developing the social connectivity and civic pride within the Egmont Village community by delivering a cohesive and accessible transport network.

Disadvantages

31. While Option 2 preserves the full discretionary budget and avoids immediate capital costs, it also misses a critical opportunity to enhance community engagement and may be perceived as inconsistent with the Board’s commitment to community wellbeing priorities as well as a missed opportunity to enhance local economic, sustainability and creative outcomes.

Recommended Option
This report recommends **Option 1** for addressing the matter.

Report Details	
Prepared By:	Mike Coronno (Strategic Programme Coordinator)
Team:	Strategic Planning
Approved By:	Renee Davies (Manager Strategic Planning)
Ward/Community:	Kōhanga Moa Ward/Inglewood Community
Date:	30 March 2026
File Reference:	ECM 9749686
-----End of Report -----	

INGLEWOOD COMMUNITY BOARD ADOPTION OF 2026 MEETING VENUES

PURPOSE

1. The matter for consideration by the Inglewood Community Board is the setting of Community Board meeting venues for 2026.

RECOMMENDATION

That, having considered all matters raised in the report, the Inglewood Community Board adopt the following meeting venue schedule for 2026:

Inglewood Community Board	14 April	
Inglewood Community Board	12 May	
Inglewood Community Board	9 June	
Inglewood Community Board	14 July	
Inglewood Community Board	11 August	
Inglewood Community Board	8 September	
Inglewood Community Board	13 October	
Inglewood Community Board	10 November	
Inglewood Community Board	8 December	

SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT / KAUPAPA WHAKAHIRAHIRA

2. In accordance with the Council's Significance and Engagement Policy, this matter has been assessed as being some importance.

BACKGROUND / WHAKAPAPA

3. On 26 November 2025 the Inglewood Community Board adopted the meeting schedule for 2026 and confirmed the first two meetings to be held at the Inglewood Library, with other locations to be considered for subsequent meetings.
4. At the meeting on 10 March 2026 the Board requested that a report be brought to the next meeting to consider meeting locations for the remainder of the calendar year, including locations in Tarata and Egmont Village.
5. The Board provided the following list of venues for consideration:
 - a) Kaimata Community Hall
 - b) Tarata Community Hall
 - c) Egmont Village Community Centre

-
- d) Tarata Te Upon o te Whenua Marae
 - e) Inglewood Public Library
6. It is acknowledged that any alternative venues will need to be well communicated to the public to encourage participation.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCING IMPLICATIONS / NGĀ HĪRAUNGA Ā-PŪTEA, Ā-RAUEMI

7. Any venue hire fees incurred fall within existing budgets and will incur minor cost implications.
8. Connecting with the community by meeting in their area is acknowledged as a community benefit and an investment in engagement. On this basis, the expenditure has been approved, within existing budgets.

CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACT AND CONSIDERATIONS / HURINGA ĀHUARANGI

9. The meeting venues are an administrative matter.

NEXT STEPS / HĪKOI I MURI MAI

10. Once the Board has adopted the schedule, Council Officers will issue electronic meeting notices to elected members and staff updating the venues, and book venues in accordance with the Boards decision.

Report Details

Prepared By:	Carol Allen (Governance Advisor)
Team:	Governance
Approved By:	Claire Kelly (Acting Governance Manager)
Ward/Community:	District Wide
Date:	2 April 2026
File Reference:	ECM 9753863

-----End of Report -----

MEMBERS ACTIVITY REPORT – MICHAEL SELF

PURPOSE

- 1. This report advises of the community board activities of Michael Self, Inglewood Community Board member in the period to 31 March 2026.

RECOMMENDATION
That, having considered all matters raised in the report, the Inglewood Community Board note the report.

ACTIVITIES

Community Engagement

- 2. Have canvassed a number of businesses around their Americana Experience and thoughts.
- 3. Have been invited to the next meeting of the new Inglewood Business Association (15 April 2026).

Community Board Plan/ Discretionary Fund

- 4. Informal board workshop on the Long-Term Plan.

INSIGHTS

- 5. There is a mixture of feelings whether Americana provides value for money.
- 6. A growing support for a new business association.

Report Details

Prepared By:	Michael Self (Community Board member)
Community Board:	Inglewood Community Board
Ward	Kōhanga Moa Ward
Date:	02 April 2026
File Reference:	ECM 9753580

-----End of Report -----

MEMBERS ACTIVITY REPORT – REBECCA DEARDEN

PURPOSE

1. This report advises of the community board activities of Rebecca Dearden, Inglewood Community Board member, in the period to 31 March 2026.

RECOMMENDATION

That, having considered all matters raised in the report, the Inglewood Community Board note the report.

ACTIVITIES

Community Engagement

2. Attendance at NZ Pipe Band Nationals – Street Parade Day 21st March 2026.

Community Board Plan/ Discretionary Fund

3. 20 March 2026 - Email sent to council staff following up on list of major projects from reserve management plans for Board consideration.
4. 27 March 2027 – Community Board pre planning session at Inglewood Library and Services Centre.
5. 31 March 2026 – Community Board Plan workshop at Civic Centre.

Other Attendances

6. I have attended the following meetings and events:
 - a) 10 March 2026 – attended Inglewood community board meeting (1:30pm – 3pm) Inglewood Library and Services Centre.

INSIGHTS

7. There appears to be limited community understanding of the current dog bylaws, particularly around off-leash and under-control areas. I have been approached by several residents' seeking clarity on where dogs may be exercised off leash. After raising this with the Parks team, I was provided with the relevant bylaw information and advised that funding is allocated in Year 4 of the current LTP to investigate a suitable location for a formalised district dog park.

Report Details	
Prepared By:	Rebecca Dearden (Inglewood Community Board member)
Community Board:	Inglewood Community Board
Ward:	Kohanga Moa Ward
Date:	01/04/2026
File Reference:	ECM 9753475

-----*End of Report* -----

CLOSING

The chairperson, or a person authorised by the chairperson, may make a statement to close the meeting.