Tabled Document II.4. Summary Statement . Mr Bain.

IN THE MATTER

of the Resource Management

Act 1991

**AND** 

IN THE MATTER

of an application under section 88 of the Act by L and H Greensill to the NEW PLYMOUTH DISTRICT COUNCIL to undertake a three-lot rural subdivision, at 1303 South Road, Oakura

## SUMMARY STATEMENT OF EVIDENCE OF Richard Bain

## **Landscape Architect**

1 July 2022

## INTRODUCTION

1. This brief summary covers the landscape and visual matters that I consider most pertinent to this proposal.

## **SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE**

2. The site's character in the vicinity of proposed Lots 2 and 3 is influenced by its context. While pastoral in the broader sense it also has a rural-residential context because of its



proximity to existing dwellings. Moreover, Lots 2 and 3 lie 'behind' the rural-residential

properties that orient more northerly – away from the subject site.

3. With regard to landscape character effects, I consider that effects are likely to very low

due to the small amount of land that will change (8870m²) and because the area's

character includes rural-residential development. In my view, character effects will be

largely imperceptible to the wider receiving environment.

4. With regard to visual effects, my primary evidence focuses on 1305A South Road (the

submitter). My evidence describes the relationship of this property to Lots 2 and 3,

concluding that the property's openness and position relative to the Lot 3 but taking

orientation and boundary planting into consideration, the level of effect for this

property (without mitigation) will be 'low to moderate'.

5. With mitigation, my view is that visual effects on the submitter's property can be

reduced through design controls on buildings, building setback, and planting. The

design controls are reflected in the draft consent conditions and the building setback

and planting is shown on the Landscape Mitigation Plan appended to my evidence and

referenced in the conditions.

6. With regard to the Council Officer's Report, the main point of contention is that the

officer considers that effects on submitter's property (1305A South Road) cannot be

mitigated. My view is that the primary amenity that derives from the submitter's north

facing property will remain. While their south-eastern outlook will change, it will

continue to have elements of rural character such as vegetation and spaciousness

through the proposed 35m setback on Lot 3 and 25m setback on Lot 2. The proposed

design controls will reduce the potential dominance of buildings.

Richard Bain

1 July 2022

