Appendix F

HERITAGE PROTECTION FUND SCORING SYSTEMS

HERITAGE PROTECTION FUND SCORING SYSTEM - STRUCTURES

Significance of item from a heritage point of view

Category A	20 points
Category B	10 points
Category C	5 points

Degree to which the proposed work is necessary to ensure the maintenance or preservation of the time

Essential work	20 points (i.e. unless w	ork carried o	out future	integrity (of item i	n doubt
	-0 0011100 (O 070 I 070 07I O			

e.g. replace leaking roof repiling replacing rotten timbers etc)

Desirable work 10 points (regular/ongoing maintenance required to keep item in good

order e.g. repainting) (not interior modification)

Whether item is, or will be, legally protected

Item is legally protected	20 points
Item will be legally protected	10 points

Degree of public access or use

High Degree of Public Access	20 points
Medium Degree of Public Access	10 points
Low Degree of Public Access	5 points
No Public Access	0 points

Level of Prominence

Located in CBD / Commercial Centre	20 points
Located on high volume traffic road	10 points
Located on low volume traffic road	5 points

Maximum	100 points
Threshold	50 points

HERITAGE FUND SCORING SYSTEM - NATURAL HERITAGE

1.	Is the area identified in the District Plan to be a Sig	mificant Natural Area?
Yes	to question 3)	20 points
No	to question 2)	0 points
2. the	If not a Significant Natural Area identified in the D criteria for a Significant Natural Area?	istrict Plan, does the area meet
Yes		10 points
No		0 points
3. regi	Is the area subject to a binding agreement to coven stered covenant?	ant or is it subject to a
Yes		20 points
No		0 points
4. requ	To what extent is the area under threat from livestouiring control by fencing?	ock, goats, pigs or deer
Higl	h	20 points
Med	lium	10 points
Low	V	5 points
5. land	Does the area function as a wildlife corridor or condscape?	tribute to connectivity in the
Yes		20 points
Yes	, but requires significant rehabilitation or restoration	10 points
No,	exists as an isolated remnant	0 points
6.	Does the site contain both natural and cultural her	itage values?
Yes		10 points
No		0 points
7.	Has matching partnership funding been sought fro	m other sources such as the

Taranaki Regional Council, Biodiversity Condition and Advice Funds, QEII Trust,

Taranaki Tree Trust, NZ Landcare Trust, Nature Heritage Tetc?	rust, Nga Whenua Rahui		
Yes	10 points		
No	0 points		
8. Is the site being managed in accordance with a Taranaki Regional Council Biodiversity Plan, or equivalent proactive and documented management regime?			
Yes	20 points		
No	0 points		
9. Will public access to the site be enhanced or enabled by	the proposal?		
Yes - Voluntary provision of pedestrian access	20 points		
Yes - Mobile Natural Heritage values E.g. native birdlife, bats, insects, seeds and genetics	10 points		
No	0 points		
Maximum possible total	150 points		

HERITAGE FUND SCORING SYSTEM FOR WAAHI TAPU

Level of threat

Urgent need for protection high level of threat	20 points
Not under direct threat	10 points

Level of identity

Exact identification of site location and area	20 points
General site location identified	5 points

Clarity of site history

Full understanding of site history	20 points
Partial understanding of site history	10 points

Degree of public access

High degree of public access	20 points
Medium degree of public access	10 points
Low degree of public access	5 points
No public access	0 points

Maximum - 80 points

Threshold – 40 points