Operative New Plymouth District Plan Objectives

Included for context reasons only - not part of Plan Change

Objective 5: To maintain and enhance the character and coherence of the urban areas of the New Plymouth District.

Objective 6: To ensure:

- sufficient space is available to protect residential amenity.
- visual and aural amenity is protected.
- traffic generation is consistent with the character of the residential area.

Objective 8: To recognise and provide for differing open space requirements in the district in an integrated manner that ensures the character of open space areas are maintained.

Objective 11: To recognise the district's heritage resources, provide for their protection and promote their enhancement.

Objective 12: To avoid or mitigate any actual or potential adverse effects of natural hazards on people, property and the environment.

Objective 13: To ensure that land use activities do not increase the likelihood or magnitude of natural hazard events.

Objective 14: To preserve and enhance the natural character of the coastal environment, wetlands, and lakes and rivers and their margins.

Objective 18: To maintain and enhance public access to and along the coast, lakes and rivers.

Objective 19: To recognise and provide for the cultural and spiritual values of tangata whenua in all aspects of resource management in the district in a manner which respects and accommodates tikanga Maori.

Objective 20: To ensure that the road transportation network will be able to operate safely and efficiently.

Objective 22: To avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use and development by ensuring appropriate and sufficient infrastructure, community facilities and new areas of open space are provided.

Objective 23: That land identified for future urban use is comprehensively planned to facilitate an integrated approach to land development while addressing site specific issues to provide for accessible, connected, efficient, liveable communities and coherent urban spaces.

The above were not provided for the Notified version, or the s42A addendum version and were provided for the JWS version to provide context.

B: Proposed new Policies and Reasons to be added to the New Plymouth District Plan in relation to the Waitara – Area D, Structure Plan.

Comparison of different versions of provisions:

'Notified' - the version as notified in June 2019

's42A Addendum' - the version as put forward in the NPDC s42A Addendum on 24 November 2020

'JWS' - provisions put forward during caucusing to form the Joint Witness Statement.

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
23.10 Wait	ara – Area D Overall
NOTIFIED	Not Proposed
s42A Addendum	Policy 23.10A Waitara – Area D Overall
	To enable the development of land identified in Waitara – Area D in accordance with the Structure Plan that:
	(a) Avoids or mitigates the adverse effects of flooding and stormwater, including managing the effects of the associated flood hazard avoidance or mitigation measures
	(b) Protects and enhances the natural processes and ecological function of the Mangaiti Stream, with sensitive integration of the stormwater design, open space, cultural and recreational outcomes
	(c) Carries out stormwater management in an integrated manner that incorporates water sensitive design principles and practices in the Norman catchment.
	(d) Provides for the relationship of tangata whenua with their culture, traditions, ancestral lands, waterbodies, sites, areas and other taonga of significance to Māori
	(e) Provides for and creates transport and open space networks which are sustainable, efficient and connected both internally and externally
	(f) Minimises incompatibility with adjoining rural environment
	(g) Provides for an integrated extension of the urban boundary and contributes towards the district's short-term residential growth
JWS	Policy 23.10A Waitara – Area D Overall - Applicant and NPDC Option To enable the development of land identified in Waitara – Area D in accordance with the Structure Plan that:

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
	 (a) Recognises that the site is located within Pekapeka which is a cultural landscape of national significance (b) Protects Historic Heritage
	(c) Facilitates an active relationship between the community and the Mangaiti through the provision of the Open Space Area and pathways
	(d) Avoids or mitigates the adverse effects of flooding and stormwater, including managing the effects of the associated flood hazard avoidance or mitigation measures
	(e) Protects and enhances the natural processes and ecological function of the Mangaiti, with sensitive integration of the stormwater design, open space, cultural and recreational outcomes
	(f) Carries out stormwater management in an integrated manner that incorporates water sensitive design principles and practices in the Mangaiti/McNaughton catchment.
	(g) Protects the Mangaiti by ensuring the number of crossing points for 3-waters infrastructure and vehicular access are minimised;
	 (h) Provides for the relationship of tangata whenua with their culture, traditions, ancestral lands, waterbodies, sites, areas and other taonga of significance to Māori
	(i) Provides for and creates transport and open space networks which are sustainable, efficient and connected both internally and externally
	(j) Minimises incompatibility with adjoining rural environment
	(k) Provides for an integrated extension of the urban boundary and contributes towards the district's short-term residential growth
	Policy 23.10A Waitara – Area D Overall - TKOTA Option
	To enable the development of land identified in Waitara – Area D in accordance with the Structure Plan that:
	(a) Recognises that the site is located within Pekapeka which is a cultural landscape of national significance(b) Protects Historic Heritage
	(c) Facilitates an active relationship between the community and the Mangaiti through the provision of the Open Space Area and pathways
	(d) Avoids or mitigates the adverse effects of flooding and stormwater, including managing the effects of the associated flood hazard avoidance or mitigation measures
	(e) Protects and enhances the natural processes and ecological function of the Mangaiti, with sensitive integration of the stormwater design, open space, cultural and recreational outcomes
	(f) Carries out stormwater management in an integrated manner that incorporates water sensitive design principles and practices in the Mangaiti/McNaughton catchment.
	(g) Protects the Mangaiti by ensuring a single crossing point for vehicular access and the reticulated wastewater network
	 (h) Provides for the relationship of tangata whenua with their culture, traditions, ancestral lands, waterbodies, sites, areas and other taonga of significance to Māori

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
	 (i) Provides for and creates transport and open space networks which are sustainable, efficient and connected both internally and externally
	(j) Minimises incompatibility with adjoining rural environment(k) Provides for an integrated extension of the urban boundary and contributes towards the district's short-term residential growth
	Two options are given for this policy;
	Clause of contention highlighted in green
	NPDC and Applicant option reflects the design in the current structure plan. It is noted this structure plan was developed in the absence of cultural expertise, including with respect to Te Mana o te Wai.
	TKOTA - Recommend a single crossing point for wastewater and roading/vehicles [a second for pedestrian/cycle access is OK]
	The above are achieved with the TKOTA structure plan, but it is noted that the TKOTA plan has not benefited from any other technical expertise including transport, infrastructure etc. If the Commissioners preferred the TKOTA option for Policy 23.10A, the Structure Plan would need to be redrawn with input from other technical specialists. Redrawing the Structure Plan to achieve a single crossing point may result in some consequential amendments to other plan provisions or elements on the Structure Plan.
23.10 Storn	nwater
NOTIFIED	Policy 23.10
	To ensure stormwater within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area is discharged into an appropriately sized detention pond to minimise the environmental impact.
s42A Addendum	Policy 23.10 Stormwater
	To ensure stormwater management within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area is designed in accordance with best practice to minimise environmental impact, by requiring a system that:
	(a) achieves hydraulic neutrality;(b) protects and enhances natural processes and ecological function of the Mangaiti Stream;

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
	 (c) aligns with any future catchment management strategy by reducing flooding within the Norman Catchment and improving water quality;
	(d) maintains and enhances the coherence of the natural character and amenity values;
	(e) protects the cultural and spiritual values of TANGATA WHENUA; and
	(f) integrates with achieves the outcomes for the transportation and open space/reserve areas.
	-including recognising that the proposed stormwater system is to align with any future stormwater management projects for the Norman
	Catchment and the objectives of reducing flooding and improving water quality in this catchment.
JWS	Policy 23.10 Stormwater
	To ensure stormwater management within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area is designed in accordance with best practice to
	minimise environmental impact and contribute to restoration of the Mangaiti, by requiring a system that:
	 (a) ensures the maximum discharge flow rate up to a 1% AEP event does not exceed the predevelopment 10% AEP discharge flow rate;
	(b) protects and enhances natural processes and ecological function of the Mangaiti;
	(c) aligns with any future catchment management strategy by reducing flooding within the Mangaiti/McNaughton Catchment and
	improving water quality;
	(d) maintains and enhances the natural character and amenity values;
	(e) protects the cultural and spiritual values of TANGATA WHENUA; and
	(f) integrates with and achieves the outcomes for transportation and open space/reserve areas.
	Agreed by all 10/12
Reasons 23	
NOTIFIED	Reasons 23.10
	An unnamed tributary runs through the Waitara – Area D structure plan and then runs to the coast through the Waitara West
	Industrial Area.
	Technical assessments for Waitara – Area D determined the most logical and sensible way to manage stormwater disposal is to
	have the stormwater disposal from ROADS, right of ways and paved surfaces discharging into a detention dam within the
	stream. The final ground contour and road network will be designed so that secondary overland flow (surface stormwater greater than a 20% AEP storm event) will naturally drain overland into the stream.

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
	Otaraua and Manukorihi Hapu have concerns over additional stormwater entering the Stream and causing flooding downstream, so the detention dam must be appropriately sized.
	Stormwater design is to reflect the need to buffer downstream Stormwater flows. Managing stormwater runoff close to its source through the detention pond will increase aquatic habitat in the area. Efficient stormwater design can make the stormwater discharge from Waitara – Area D hydraulically neutral by reducing peak flows either before they enter the stream (via onsite soak holes to address stormwater from dwellings and associated impervious areas within an allotment) or within the stream channel. There are also opportunities for additional retention which could be investigated and fed into NPDC stormwater management plans for Waitara.
	The Waitara Community Board has also expressed concerns about the low impact systems (Swales and rain gardens) implemented on another development in Waitara, and expressed concern that much of Waitara does not have kerb and channel. The Waitara Community Board has indicated that their strong preference is for a conventional kerb and channel stormwater management system.
s42A	Reasons 23.10
Addendum	The Mangaiti Stream begins within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area, and runs through the site, entering the NPDC stormwater infrastructure within the Waitara West Industrial Area downstream. This infrastructure discharges at the Waitara Estuary. Because of its undulating topography, soils, climate and relatively small catchment size, Mangaiti Stream can be prone to surface flooding during medium to large scale storm events. Subdivision, and the resulting land uses, can increase the amount of impermeable surfaces within a catchment, increasing levels of stormwater runoff and the potential for flooding. Therefore, when undertaking a subdivision of land, it is important that the stormwater is managed to best practice to as far as practical avoid additional flooding. This is achieved by ensuring that the stormwater system installed achieves hydraulic neutrality.
	Direct stormwater discharges to a waterway can cause adverse effects on its natural processes and ecological function. An increase in stormwater discharge could contaminate the waterway or result in the growth of nuisance weeds. Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū have concerns over additional stormwater entering the Mangaiti Stream and polluting and damaging the stream. A solution for this is to have the stormwater discharged into low impact stormwater systems. Low impact design approaches to stormwater management can be simple and effective tools that ensure potential adverse effects on people, property and infrastructure is minimised. If

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
	stormwater is discharged into a low impact stormwater system this will ensure that additional stormwater entering the Mangaiti Stream will have a positive effect on the stream health and aims to enhance water quality.
	Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū have concerns about the effects of additional stormwater entering the Mangaiti Stream including:
	 (a) Potential for exacerbated flooding downstream; and (b) Contaminants in the stormwater entering the Mangaiti Stream polluting and damaging it.
	The technical stormwater assessments for Waitara – Area D determined that a combination of on-site soakage, disposal to the existing stream (and the NPDC stormwater reticulation network downstream), and stormwater detention (in stream culvert and bund) is the most appropriate way to manage stormwater for the development, resulting in a hydraulically neutral stormwater system.
	Stormwater disposal from ROADS, right of ways and paved surfaces is (at the time of plan change) proposed to be discharged via kerb and channel with cut-outs into rain gardens, into underground stormwater pipes and onward into the stream. Alternative options could also be considered at the time of stormwater design, reflecting the latest technology. Within the stream will be a culvert pipe and detention bund to buffer downstream flows. The final ground contour and road network will be designed so that secondary overland flow (surface stormwater greater than a 20% AEP storm event) will naturally drain overland into the stream.
	Efficient stormwater design can make the stormwater discharge from Waitara Area D hydraulically neutral by reducing peak flows before they drain north from the area, via onsite soak holes to address stormwater from dwellings and associated impervious areas within an allotment, rain gardens, and detention within the waterway in times of flooding.
	The Waitara Community Board has expressed concerns about the low impact systems (Swales and rain gardens) and expressed concern that much of Waitara does not have kerb and channel. The Waitara Community Board has indicated that their strong preference is for a conventional kerb and channel stormwater management system, and this must be balanced with cultural effects. Kerb and channel systems can however operate in conjunction with low impact stormwater treatment options.
	Waitara is the subject of a number of stormwater management projects, and future stormwater management projects for the Norman Catchment are likely to have objectives of reducing flooding and improving water quality in this catchment. This policy ensures that the design of any stormwater system for Waitara - Area D considers the objectives of these projects along with providing for the concept of Te Mana o Te Wai.

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
	Mangaiti Stream contains a number of cultural and spiritual values of Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū. Stormwater discharges and modification of the stream function can adversely affect those values. This policy requires consideration to be given to protecting those cultural and spiritual values.
	In designing and implementing the stormwater management system, it is important it integrates with outcomes for the transportation and open space/reserve areas. This integration would ensure the long-term sustainable use and development of the land, including the open space/reserve areas.
	NZS4404:2010 Land Development and Subdivision will be followed.
JWS	Reasons 23.10 The Mangaiti begins within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area, and runs through the site, entering the NPDC stormwater infrastructure within the Waitara West Industrial Area downstream. This infrastructure discharges at the Waitara Estuary, a site and area of significance to Manukorihi and Otaraua Hapū. Because of its undulating topography, soils, climate and relatively small catchment size, the Mangaiti can be prone to surface flooding during medium to large scale storm events. Subdivision, and the resulting land uses, can increase the amount of impermeable surfaces within a catchment, increasing levels of stormwater runoff and the potential for flooding. Therefore, when undertaking a subdivision of land, it is important that the stormwater is managed to best practice to as far as practical to avoid additional flooding. This outcome is achieved by ensuring that the stormwater system installed achieves hydraulic neutrality. Direct stormwater discharges to a waterway can cause adverse effects on its natural processes and ecological function. An increase in stormwater discharge could contaminate the waterway or result in the growth of nuisance weeds. Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū have concerns over additional stormwater systems. Low impact design approaches to stormwater management can be simple and effective tools that ensure potential adverse effects on people, property and infrastructure is minimised. If stormwater is discharged into a low impact stormwater system for Waitara - Area D considers the objectives of the Mangaiti and aims to enhance water quality. Waitara is the subject of a number of stormwater management projects. Future stormwater management projects for the Mangaiti is of cultural, traditional, spiritual and historical significance to Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū. Stormwater as that the design of any stormwater system for Waitara - Area D considers the objectives of these projects along with giving effect to Te Mana o Te Wai. This polic

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
	Agreed by all 10/12
23.10 Meth	od of Implementation
NOTIFIED	Method of Implementation
	NZS4404:2010 Land Development and Subdivision sets out the standards for conventional kerb and channel and it to be followed.
s42A	Method of Implementation
Addendum	NZS4404:2010 Land Development and Subdivision is to be followed.
	Rule Ol60O allows for the use of low impact systems as a controlled activity, and if unable to meet the controlled standards, the activity will be restricted discretionary.
JWS	Method of Implementation NZS4404:2010 Land Development and Subdivision is to be followed beginning with section 4.3.7. Rule OL60O allows for the use of low impact systems as a controlled activity, and if unable to meet the controlled standards, the activity will be discretionary.
	Agreed by all 10/12
Policy 23.1	0B Mangaiti Stream
NOTIFIED	Not Proposed
s42A Addendum	Not Proposed
	Policy 23.10B Mangaiti Stream
	To restore the health of the Mangaiti by; (c) Providing for the active relationship of Tangata Whenua through the provision of access and customary activities (d) Engagement of mātauranga Maori (e) Management and protection of the cultural and spiritual values of the Mangaiti.
	Reasons 23.10B It has been acknowledged that there is an expectation to restore the health of the Mangaiti.

Provision as put forward in that version
Restoring the Mangaiti will give effect to Te Mana o te Wai. Engaging Tangata Whenua is required to ensure Te Mana o te Wai, and the ability to exercise kaitiakitanga is given effect to.
Methods 23.10B As per Policies 23.10 & 23.14
New policy, Reasons and Methods, agreed by all on 10/12
1 Buildings and structures within Waitara - Area D
Policy 23.11
To control the design of buildings and structures within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area by;
- avoiding visual clutter and maintain a sense of appropriate building density with the adjacent rural area
 avoiding a dominance of built form over open space and to maintain visual permeability
 creating a subdivision that blends with its rural context
 allowing for small lot sizes in the area labelled 'Smaller' lots, front yard requirements will be reduced ensuring an open streetscape and reducing urban clutter.
Policy 23.11 Buildings and structures within Waitara - Area D
To control the design of buildings and structures within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area by;
– avoiding visual clutter and maintain a sense of appropriate building density with the adjacent rural area
 avoiding a dominance of built form over open space and to maintain visual permeability
 creating a subdivision that blends with its rural context
- allowing for small lot sizes in the area labelled 'Smaller' lots, front yard requirements will be reduced
 ensuring an open streetscape and reducing urban clutter.
- Mitigating the effects of reverse sensitivity. Allowing for provision for reverse sensitivity via a 'no complaints' covenant.

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
JWS	Policy 23.11 Buildings and structures within Waitara - Area D - Applicant & NPDC OPTION
	To control the design of buildings and structures within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area by;
	- Ensuring cultural narratives are reinscribed in the public environment (roads and open space/reserve areas) through language,
	technology, design and public art.
	 Location and design of road layout
	 Maximising passive solar design opportunities
	 avoiding visual clutter and maintain a sense of appropriate building density with the adjacent rural area
	 avoiding a dominance of built form over open space and to maintain visual permeability
	 creating a subdivision that blends with its rural context
	- allowing for small lot sizes in the area labelled 'Smaller' lots, front yard requirements will be reduced
	 ensuring an open streetscape and reducing urban clutter.
	 Mitigating the effects of reverse sensitivity.
	Policy 23.11 Buildings and structures within Waitara - Area D TKOTA OPTION
	To control the design of buildings and structures within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area by;
	- Ensuring cultural narratives are reinscribed in the environment through language, technology, design and public art.
	 Incorporating rainwater collection systems and greywater recycling systems
	 Location and design of road layout
	 Maximising passive solar design opportunities
	 avoiding visual clutter and maintain a sense of appropriate building density with the adjacent rural area
	 avoiding a dominance of built form over open space and to maintain visual permeability
	 creating a subdivision that blends with its rural context
	 allowing for small lot sizes in the area labelled 'Smaller' lots, front yard requirements will be reduced
	 ensuring an open streetscape and reducing urban clutter.
	Mitigating the effects of reverse sensitivity.
	Two options given for this Policy.
	Clauses of contention highlighted in Green
	NPDC & Applicant Option - agree to this policy for the PUBLIC areas only as it is unknown what and how the cultural narratives would
	apply within the private lots. In the absence of information on the cultural narratives, have not been able to evaluate the benefits, costs, effectiveness or efficiency of this requested policy provision from TKOTA outside of the public areas to achieve Objective 19. Similarly, no
	details on the requirements for rainwater collection and greywater recycling systems, therefore unable to evaluate the benefits, costs,

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
	effectiveness or efficiency of this requested policy provision from TKOTA, and determine if it is most appropriate to achieve Objectives 19, 22 and 23.
	TKOTA Option – The legibility of a development relies upon features/attributes that may be located on future public, or private areas. It is not uncommon to secure specific design features throughout a development to ensure a particular environment, character or built form subsequent to subdivision (often through section 221 of the Resource Management Act 1991 consent notices at time of subdivision). There are numerous examples of this throughout the District; albeit for characteristics/cultures other than mana whenua. In all instances these specific features were not known prior subdivision of the land and were typically recommended by a landscape architect; it is not clear why this approach cannot be applied in this instance with respect to cultural expertise.
	Ensuring the policy direction with respect to a cultural narrative is sufficiently broad to enable those considerations through the subdivision process is required (particularly where this gives effect to the relationship of mana whenua with their ancestral lands). Therefore TKOTA do not consider the word 'public' in the context of the policy is appropriate and should be removed.
	Rainwater collection and greywater recycling should be covered.
Reasons 23	.11
NOTIFIED	Reasons 23.11
	The Waitara - Area D Structure Plan area has been developed to avoid effects. The location, size, and orientation of the various character types have been carefully considered and designed to create varied but integrated development. Policy 23.11 covers those matters relating to structures and buildings that are not able to expressed either through the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan layout and which are not covered by existing rules.
	Policy 23.10 and associated rules OL60I, J K L and M are to ensure that the effects of residential development on the character of the area are able to be considered.
s42A	Reasons 23.11
Addendum	The Waitara - Area D Structure Plan area has been developed to avoid effects. The location, size, and orientation of the various character types have been carefully considered and designed to create varied but integrated development. Policy 23.11 covers

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
	those matters relating to structures and buildings that are not able to expressed either through the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan layout and which are not covered by existing rules.
	Policy 23.10 and associated rules OL60H, I, J K L and M are to ensure that the effects of residential development on the character of the area are able to be considered.
JWS	Reasons 23.11 The Waitara - Area D Structure Plan area has been developed to avoid effects, though the design has not benefited from cultural expertise and therefore there remains a cultural effect. The location, size, and orientation of the various character types have been carefully considered and designed to create varied but integrated development. Policy 23.11 covers those matters relating to structures and buildings that are not able to be expressed either through the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan layout and which are not covered by existing rules. Cultural expression is enabled via the cultural narrative plan to reflect the significance of the Pekapeka Block as a cultural landscape of National Importance and the significance of the Mangaiti. Policy 23.11 and associated rules OL60H, I, J K L and M are to ensure that the effects of residential development on the character of the area are able to be considered.
	Comments: The text highlighted green is not agreed to by the applicant. The applicant seek that this is removed as there are provisions proposed that will make sure cultural expertise is appropriately sought and incorporated, and there is concern that this pre-empts the commissioners decision.
	It is noted that either option of this Reason will work for both versions of policy 23.11 that have been put forward.
Methods of	Implementation 23.11
NOTIFIED	Methods of Implementation 23.11
	a) Develop a Structure Plan for Lot 3 Deposited Plan 446773 that shows the desired pattern of development by ENVIRONMENT AREAS. This will be titled Structure Plan – Waitara Area D.
	b) Identify the extent of the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan area on the relevant planning maps.

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version						
	c) Develop a new set of rules explicit to the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan, including rules requiring development and subdivision to be undertaken in accordance with the Structure Plan in Appendix 33.						
	d) Rules specifying standards relating to:						
	 Maximum HEIGHT of BUILDINGS and STRUCTURES within the Structure Plan Area. Number of HABITABLE BUILDINGS per ALLOTMENT. Maximum COVERAGE of SITES in the Medium Density Area. Reduced COVERAGE in the FRONT YARDS in the area identified as 'smaller lots' on the Structure Plan. 						
	V. Light Reflectance Values for roof and other exterior claddings for STRUCTURES and BUILDINGS.						
	f) Covenants on Records of Title (CFR) restricting build form in front yards and within landscape buffers.						
s42A Addendum	Methods of Implementation 23.11						
	a) Develop a Structure Plan for Lot 3 Deposited Plan 446773 that shows the desired pattern of development by ENVIRONMENT AREAS. This will be titled Structure Plan – Waitara Area D and included as Appendix 33.						
	b) Identify the extent of the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan area on the relevant planning maps.						
	c) Develop a new set of rules explicit to the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan, including rules requiring development and subdivision to be undertaken in accordance with the Structure Plan in Appendix 33.						
	d) Rules specifying standards relating to:						
	 VI. Maximum HEIGHT of BUILDINGS and STRUCTURES within the Structure Plan Area. VII. Number of HABITABLE BUILDINGS per ALLOTMENT. VIII. Maximum COVERAGE of SITES in the Medium Density Area. 						
	 VIII. Maximum COVERAGE of SITES in the Medium Density Area. IX. Reduced COVERAGE in the FRONT YARDS in the area identified as 'smaller lots' on the Structure Plan. 						

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version				
	X. Light Reflectance Values for roof and other exterior claddings for STRUCTURES and BUILDINGS.				
	f) Covenants on Records of Title (CFR) restricting build form in front yards and within landscape buffers, and				
	reflecting reverse sensitivity concerns via no complaints provisions.				
JWS	 Methods of Implementation 23.11 a) Develop a Structure Plan for Lot 3 Deposited Plan 446773 that shows the desired pattern of development by ENVIRONMENT AREAS. This will be titled Structure Plan – Waitara Area D and included as Appendix 33. b) Identify the extent of the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan area on the relevant planning maps. c) Develop a new set of rules explicit to the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan, including rules requiring development and subdivision to be undertaken in accordance with the Structure Plan in Appendix 33. d) Rules specifying standards relating to: XI. Maximum HEIGHT of BUILDINGS and STRUCTURES within the Structure Plan Area. XII. Number of HABITABLE BUILDINGS per ALLOTMENT. XIII. Maximum COVERAGE of SITES in the Medium Density Area. XIV. Reduced COVERAGE in the FRONT YARDS in the area identified as 'smaller lots' on the Structure Plan. XV. Light Reflectance Values for roof and other exterior claddings for STRUCTURES and BUILDINGS. f) Covenants on Records of Title (CFR) restricting built form in front yards and within landscape buffers, and reflecting reverse sensitivity concerns via no complaints provisions. g) Development of a cultural narrative plan for the public areas (roads and open space/reserves) of the development. 				
	Clause f) Retained to address concerns of T Wilcox. Disagreement on clause g. Clause g) Wording highlighted green is Applicant preference so this applies for the public areas of the development, but not the private areas. Concern over how this would be enforced and implemented on private areas as per previous comments on Policy 23.11. TKOTA comments on this policy are the same as those above for Policy 23.11.				
Policy 23.1	2 Excavated Landforms within Waitara - Area D				
NOTIFIED	Policy 23.12				
	To control excavated landforms (cut and fill batters) within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area by placing controls on excavated landforms to minimise visual effects.				

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
s42A Addendum	Policy 23.12 Excavated Landforms within Waitara - Area D To control excavated landforms (cut and fill batters) within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area by placing controls on excavated landforms to minimise visual effects.
JWS	Policy 23.12 Excavated Landforms within Waitara - Area D To control excavated landforms (cut and fill batters) within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area by placing controls on excavated landforms to minimise visual and cultural effects. Agreed by all 10/12
Reasons 23	.12
NOTIFIED	Reasons 23.12 In order to ensure that likely changes in topography appear natural over time, cut and fill batters, where visible from rural environment areas, should be battered at a gradient to match gently and smoothly into existing contours. This is most likely to be relevant at the northern end of the site along the north-western boundary, where the landform drops towards the stream. Policy 23.12 and associated rule OL60N covers those matters associated with excavated landforms that are not able to expressed either through the Structure Plan layout and which are not covered by existing rules.
s42A Addendum	Reasons 23.12 In order to ensure that likely changes in topography appear natural over time, cut and fill batters, where visible from rural environment areas, should be battered at a gradient to match gently and smoothly into existing contours. This is most likely to be relevant at the northern end of the site along the north-western boundary, where the landform drops towards the stream. Policy 23.12 and associated rule OL60N covers those matters associated with excavated landforms that are not able to expressed either through the Structure Plan layout and which are not covered by existing rules.

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version
JWS	Reasons 23.12 In order to ensure that likely changes in topography appear natural over time, cut and fill batters should be battered at a gradient to match gently and smoothly into existing contours.
	Agreed by all 10/12
Policy 23.1	3 Effects of Waitara - Area D on the transportation network
NOTIFIED	Not Proposed
s42A Addendum	Policy 23.13 Effects of Waitara - Area D on the transportation network
	To avoid any additional traffic generation effects at the intersection of Raleigh Street with State Highway 3 prior to planned
	safety upgrades as a result of ensure that development of Waitara -Area D can be progressed, while also ensuring effects of
	traffic generation at the intersection of Raleigh Street with State Highway 3 are acceptable to Waka Kotahi.
JWS	Policy 23.13 Effects of Waitara - Area D on the transportation network
	To avoid additional traffic generation effects at the intersection of Raleigh Street with State Highway 3 prior to the physical completion and operation of safety upgrades as a result of development within the Waitara -Area D structure plan area.
	Agreed by all 10/12
Reasons 23	.13
NOTIFIED	Not Proposed
s42A Addendum	Reasons 23.13
	Waka Kotahi is planning safety upgrades to the stretch of State Highway 3 between Bell Block and Waitara. At the time of this
	plan change (plan Change 49), Waka Kotahi were unsure on the timing and detail of these upgrades, and what this would mean
	for the intersection of State Highway 3 and Raleigh Street.
	Upgrades to the intersection of State Highway 3 and Raleigh Street are expected, and timing of the upgrades is also expected to co-incide with the later stages of development of Waitara-Area D . This policy is included to enable the first stages of the

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version				
	development of Waitara-Area D to proceed (stages 1-3 - 50 lots) but to ensure Waka Kotahi are involved in later stages in the				
	event that the works on State Highway 3 are delayed or altered.				
	Reasons 23.13 Waka Kotahi is planning safety upgrades to the stretch of State Highway 3 between Bell Block and Waitara. At the time of this plan change (plan Change 49), Waka Kotahi were unsure on the timing and detail of these upgrades, and what this would mean for the intersection of State Highway 3 and Raleigh Street. Upgrades to the intersection of State Highway 3 and Raleigh Street are expected, and timing of the upgrades is also expected to co-incide with the later stages of development of Waitara-Area D .				
	Agreed by all 10/12				
Methods of	Implementation 23.13				
NOTIFIED	Not Proposed				
s42A Addendum	 Methods of Implementation 23.13 a) Include rules that require assessment of the effects of the development of Waitara-Area D on the safety and efficiency of this intersection via an Integrated Traffic Impact Assessment and accordingly., written approval from Waka Kotahi once the number of lots created exceeds 50 form the parent title (at 25 June 2019). 				
JWS	Methods of Implementation 23.13 a) Include rules that require assessment of the effects of the development of Waitara-Area D on the safety and efficiency of the Raleigh Street and State Highway 3 intersection via an Integrated Transport Assessment- Agreed by all 10/12 - Care taken not to modify this given Waka Kotahi have supported this wording.				
	Agreed by all 10/12 - Care laken not to modify this given waka Kolant nave supported this wording.				
Area D. Policy 23.1	4 Recognise that only Manukorihi and Otaraua hapū can identify their values and interests in Waitara- 4A To ensure Manukorihi and Otaraua hapū are able to exercise kaitiakitanga through the ongoing 1t and land use within Waitara-Area D.				
NOTIFIED	Not Proposed				
s42A Addendum	Policy 23.14 Cultural Effects within Waitara - Area D				

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version				
	To ensure that the Cultural Effects associated with development of Waitara -Area D are avoided, remedied and mitigated and Manukorihi and Otaraua Hapū are given the opportunity for cultural expression and monitoring.				
	Reasons 23.14				
	The provisions of the Te Atiawa iwi environmental management plan <i>Tai Whenua, Tai Tangata, Tai Ao</i> must be taken into account when developing this land. The design must adequately address sections 6(a), (d), (e) and (f); 7(a), (b), (c), (f); and 8 of the Act.				
	To allow for the relationship of Manukorihi and Otaraua with their ancestral lands, waters and sites and the ability of the development and use to give particular regard to Manukorihi and Otaraua Hapū and Te Atiawa Iwi exercising kaitiakitanga; and recognising;				
	 Their relationship with ancestral lands, waters, sites and wāhi tapu; The historic and contemporary cultural context/landscape this application is set within including the Pekapeka block; and, The connection of urban development and the narratives which link these sites to the broader cultural landscape of Te 				
	Atiawa.				
JWS	Policy 23.14 Recognise that only Manukorihi and Otaraua hapū can identify their values and interests in Waitara-Area D. Policy 23.14A To ensure Manukorihi and Otaraua hapū are able to exercise kaitiakitanga through the ongoing development and land use within Waitara-Area D.				
	NEW policy wording Agreed by all 10/12				
Reasons 23	.14				
NOTIFIED	Not Proposed				
s42A Addendum	Reasons 23.14				

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version					
	The provisions of the Te Atiawa iwi environmental management plan <i>Tai Whenua, Tai Tangata, Tai Ao</i> must be taken into account when developing this land. The design must adequately address sections 6(a), (d), (e) and (f); 7(a), (b), (c), (f); and 8 of the Act.					
	To allow for the relationship of Manukorihi and Otaraua with their ancestral lands, waters and sites and the ability of the development and use to give particular regard to Manukorihi and Otaraua Hapū and Te Atiawa Iwi exercising kaitiakitanga; and recognising;					
	• Their relationship with ancestral lands, waters, sites and wāhi tapu;					
	• The historic and contemporary cultural context/landscape this application is set within including the Pekapeka block; and,					
	 The connection of urban development and the narratives which link these sites to the broader cultural landscape of Te Atiawa. 					
JWS	 Reasons 23.14 The provisions of the Te Atiawa iwi environmental management plan <i>Tai Whenua, Tai Tangata, Tai Ao</i> must be taken into account when developing this land. The design must address sections 6 (a), (d), (e) and (f); 7(a), (b), (c), (f); and 8 of the Act. To provide for the relationship of Manukorihi and Otaraua Hapū with their ancestral lands, waters and sites and the ability of Manukorihi and Otaraua Hapū to exercise kaitiakitanga, and recognise; Their relationship with their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, waters, sites and wāhi tapu and other taonga; The historic and contemporary cultural context/landscape this development is set within including the Pekapeka block; and, The connection of urban development and the narratives of the cultural landscape. A Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) has been prepared by Manukorihi and Otaraua Hapū and provides direction and information about the cultural values and significance of this land. <i>Agreed by all 10/12</i>					
Methods of	Implementation 23.14					
NOTIFIED	Not Proposed					
s42A Addendum	Methods of Implementation 23.143					

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version					
	 a) Inclusion of matters of control and discretion within the rules that provide for the development of a cultural narrative to inform the development including through cultural expression, integration of te reo Māori (such as bilingual signage and dual naming), street furniture, open space; b) Ensure policy and rule framework addresses the cultural concerns of Manukorihi and Otaraua in relation to both quantity and quality of stormwater and potential effects on the Mangaiti Stream, and appropriately provides for the provision of low impact stormwater design; c) Inclusion of provisions within the policy framework that allow for the development of environmental health indicators for the Mangaiti which benefit from mātauranga Māori; d) Provision for active modes of transport through and across the development by requiring the development by setting the expectation that development occurs in accordance with the structure plan in Appendix 33, and allowing greater scrutiny of the proposal if deviation from the structure plan in Appendix 33 is proposed; e) Provisions to ensure retention of the natural landform and management of earthworks f) Provision for appropriate cultural monitoring of subsequent subdivision and development; and, g) Provisions for specific consideration of adaptive management within the rule/consenting framework and process, requiring that detail on how amendments to the design of the development will occur in the event there is an unrecorded archaeological find are provided. 					
JWS	Methods of Implementation 23.14					
J W S	h) Inclusion of matters of control and discretion within the rules that provide for the development of a cultural narrative to inform					
	the development					
	i) Ensure policy and rule framework manages the quantity and quality of stormwater and potential effects on the Mangaiti, and requirements for the provision of low impact stormwater design;					
	 j) Inclusion of provisions within the policy framework that allow for the development of environmental health indicators for the Mangaiti which benefit from mātauranga Māori 					
	k) Provision for active modes of transport through and across the development,					
	I) Provision to manage earthworks					
	m) Provision for cultural monitoring of subsequent subdivision and developmentn) Provisions for adaptive management within the rule framework, requiring detail on how amendments to the design of the					
	n) Provisions for adaptive management within the rule framework, requiring detail on how amendments to the design of the development will occur in the event there is an unrecorded archaeological find.					
	Agreed by all 10/12					
Cross Dofo	rence Matrix					

VERSION	Provision as put forward in that version			
NOTIFIED	Not proposed			
s42A	Update the Cross reference matrix: Polices to Rules at the end of the Management Strategy chapter of the Operative District Plan			
Addendum				
JWS	Update the Cross reference matrix: Polices to Rules at the end of the Management Strategy chapter of the Operative District Plan			
	Agreed by all 10/12			

A: Proposed Rules to be added to the OVERLAYS section of the New Plymouth District Plan in relation to the Waitara- Area D Structure Plan: Comparison of provisions.

Rule Table Header

Notified and s42A addendum JWS - TKOTA

TKOTA seek that any subdivision activities that do not meet the controlled standards are Fully Discretionary. This is a departure from current subdivision in the District under the Operative District Plan, except for in the Rural Environment Area and a departure from the Proposed District Plan provisions. The structure plan and provisions have been developed in the absence of cultural expertise. The following rule table header would provide for this within the current ONPDP framework.

Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters <u>for land use</u>
				consents

JWS - NPDC and Applicant

NPDC and applicant consider restricted discretionary is appropriate for both land use and subdivision where the permitted or controlled standards are not met, as a comprehensive suite of matters of discretion for each rule relating to the effects is provided. Specific works (and associated rules) have specific effects to be assessed. Accordingly, the rule table header below would allow for this.

RuleParameterConditionsStandards and termsNumberPermitted	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
---	--	--

Both alternatives for the rule table header are provided in the following rules table.

Rule Number Notified	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
542A Addeno IWS - TKOT inable to me	A Rule Header (Land use conser et permitted or controlled standa	rds).	tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standard		υ υ
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
OL60H						
<u>vvaltara – :</u> OL60H NOTIFIED	Area D Structure Plan Development and subdivision within the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 32	 1) Development that is undertaken as part of any subdivision that has already been approved in accord with the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 32; or 2) Where subdivision has not been undertaken the erection of STRUCTURES and BUILDINGS 	Subdivision shall be in accordance with the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 32	Does not meet the conditions for a permitted activity or standards and terms for a controlled activity	Matter of control as for rules Res54-64 as they apply to the RESIDENTIAL A ENVIRONMENT AREA.	1) The extent of the non- compliance with the Waitara – Area D structure plan and how this effects the ability for comprehensive development and or comprehensive SUBDIVISION of the structure plan area and the environmental outcomes including the following: a) The degree to which comprehensive development and integrated management of all the land within Waitara – Area D is able to be achieved when the

IWS NDDC	& Applicant Dula Hoadar (all a	ativitias Destricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet pe	rmittad/aantrallad stands	ards)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms	a mitteu/controneu stanua	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
			tionary if unable to meet permitte	ed or controlled standard	s, Subdivision Cons	ents fully discretionary if
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
		and associated development work that is in accord with the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan and meets OL60I to OL60N and other applicable overlay and Environment Area rules				structure plan area is held in multiple ownership. b) The degree to which infrastructure provisions are co-ordinated within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area. c) The degree to which site specific characteristics of the Waitara – Area D structure plan have been addressed in the design and layout of the area. d) Whether the INDICATIVE ROAD network has taken into account the design/layout of Waitara – Area D structure plan area. e) The effect of modifications to the alignment of the INDICATIVE ROAD on the ROAD TRANSPORTATION

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all ac	tivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet permitte	d/controlled stand:	ards)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or c	ontrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
						 NETWORK and the connections and linkages desired for the comprehensive development of Waitara – Area D structure plan area. f) The degree to which the esplanade reserve achieves public access along the stream. g) The extent to which the design/layout of the INDICATIVE ROADING NETWORK and the Esplanade Reserve is integrated h) Protection of the stream and stream margins is achieved. i) Roading/pedestrian connectivity is provided. j) The extent to which the design of the ROAD TRANSPORTATION

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms	San onde Standt	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
unable to mee	A Rule Header (Land use conser t permitted or controlled standa	rds).	tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standard				
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
						NETWORK considers pedestrian safety.		
OL60H s42A Addendum	Development and subdivision within the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 32	 Development that is undertaken as part of any subdivision that has already been approved in accord with the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 32; or Where subdivision has not been undertaken the erection of STRUCTURES and BUILDINGS and associated development 	Subdivision (including allotment size) shall be in accordance with the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 32. No more than 50 allotments are subdivided from the parent title existing at 25 June 2019.	Does not meet the conditions for a permitted activity or standards and terms for a controlled activity Subdivision prior to the physical completion of the upgrade of the intersection of Tate Road/State Highway 3 and closure of the Raleigh Street/State	Matters of control as for rules Res54-64 as they apply to the RESIDENTIAL A ENVIRONMENT AREA; and, a)Procedures to be followed if artefacts are discovered including the provision of an opportunity for on-site monitoring during excavation within the area identified as Open Space B by Tangata Whenua	 Where the proposed development is not in accordance with the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan, the extent of the non- compliance with the Waitara - Area D structure plan and how this effects the ability for comprehensive development and or comprehensive SUBDIVISION of the structure plan area and the environmental outcomes including the following: The degree to which comprehensive development and 		

Rule Number Notified	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise o its discretion to these matters
842A Adden JWS - TKO		ed standards). Conditions Permitted	tionary if unable to meet permitt Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise o its discretion to these matters
		work that is in accord with the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan and meets OL60I to OL60NO and other applicable overlay and Environment Area rules		Highway 3 intersection. Subdivision where the speed restriction on Raleigh Street between the Waitara and Johnston Street intersection is more than 50 km/hr.	 b) Provision for adaptive management in the event of the discovery of previously unrecorded archaeological remains; c) Design of planting and landscaping; d) Methods to mitigate effects of The form of and provision for 'no complaints' covenants over all proposed allotments to address reverse sensitivity with the surrounding rural zone; e) Provision for the development 	 integrated management of all the land within Waitara – Area D is able to be achieved when the structure plan area is held in multiple ownership. b) The degree to which infrastructure provisions are co-ordinated within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area. c) The degree to which site specific characteristics of the Waitara – Area D structure plan have been addressed in the design and layout of the area. d) Whether the INDICATIVE ROAD network has taken into account the design/layout of Waitara – Area D structure plan area. e) The effect of modifications to the

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all ac	tivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet permitte	ed/controlled stand:	ards)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
unable to mee	A Rule Header (Land use consen t permitted or controlled standar	rds).	tionary if unable to meet permitted or o	controlled standard		· ·
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
					of environmental health indicators for the Mangaiti Stream which benefit from mātauranga Māori; f) Provision for the development of a cultural narrative to inform the development including through cultural expression, integration of te reo Māori (bilingual signage and dual naming) and street furniture.	alignment of the INDICATIVE ROADS on the ROAD TRANSPORTATION NETWORK and the connections and linkages desired for the comprehensive development of Waitara - Area D structure plan area. f) The degree to which the activity achieves public access along the stream. g) The extent to which the design/layout of the INDICATIVE ROADING NETWORK and the Open Space area is integrated. h) Protection of the stream and stream margins is achieved. i) Roading/pedestrian connectivity is provided.

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all ac	tivities Restricted	Discretionary if unable to meet pe	ermitted/controlled standa	urds)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
Notified S42A Addend	lum					
		ts restricted discre	tionary if unable to meet permitt	ed or controlled standard	s, Subdivision Cons	ents fully discretionary if
	et permitted or controlled standa					
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
						 j) The extent to which the design of the ROAD TRANSPORTATION NETWORK considers pedestrian safety. k) How the matters over which control under this rule is reserved are given effect to, including full consideration of the activity in relation to these matters.
						2) Where the proposal will result in more than 50 allotments subdivided from the parent title at 25 June 2019-subdivision will occur prior physical completion of the upgrade of the intersection of Tate Road/State Highway 3 and closure of the Raleigh Street/State

JWS - NPDC	WS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
Notified S42A Addenda JWS - TKOT		ts restricted discre	tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standard	s. Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if		
	t permitted or controlled standa					usererery in		
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
						Highway 3 intersection, and/or where the speed restriction on Raleigh Street between the Waitara and Johnston Street intersection is more than 50 km/hr : a) the effect on the safety and efficiency of the intersection of Raleigh Street with State Highway 3; b) the effect on safety and efficiency on Raleigh Street; ca) Findings of a detailed integrated traffic impact assessment relevant to the traffic environment at the time of application; and, db) How feedback from Waka Kotahi has been incorporated into the integrated traffic assessment prepared in (ca) above.; and,		

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
Notified S42A Addendum JWS - TKOTA Rule Header (Land use consents restricted discretionary if unable to meet permitted or controlled standards, Subdivision Consents fully discretionary if unable to meet permitted or controlled standards).								
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
						c) Written Approval from Waka Kotahi.		
OL60H NPDC JWS	Development and subdivision within the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 33	1) Development that is undertaken as part of any subdivision that has already been approved in accordance with the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 33; or 2) Where subdivision has not been undertaken the erection of STRUCTURES	 Subdivision shall be in accordance with the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 33.¹ Minimum ALLOTMENT size within the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 33 is: a) 350m² within the area marked 'small lots'; or b) 500m² across the remainder of the Waitara Area D Structure Plan Minimum average ALLOTMENT size within the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 33 applies to the areas identified on the Structure Plan as follows: a) Raleigh Street Road Frontage Lots: 660m². 	 Does not meet the conditions for a permitted activity or standards and terms for a controlled activity Subdivision prior to the physical completion and operation of the upgrade of the intersection of Tate Road/State Highway 3 and 	Matters of control as for rules Res54-64 as they apply to the RESIDENTIAL A ENVIRONMENT AREA; and, a)Procedures to be followed if artefacts are discovered including the provision of an opportunity for on-site monitoring by Tangata Whenua during excavation within	1) Where the proposed development is not in accordance with the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan, the extent of the non- compliance with the Waitara - Area D structure plan and how this effects the ability for comprehensive development and or comprehensive SUBDIVISION of the structure plan area and the environmental outcomes including the following:		

¹ Note: The underlying zoning for the Waitara Area-D Structure Plan will be Residential A and Open Space B.

Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or con Standards and terms Controlled	ntrolled standard Discretionary	s, Subdivision Conso Matters over which control is reserved	ents fully discretionary if Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
		and BUILDINGS and associated development work that is in accordance with the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan and meets OL60I to OL60P and other applicable overlay and Environment Area rules	 b) Larger Lots Adjoining Johnston Street and Rural Area: 1,000m². 4) A footpath on the western side of Raleigh Street extending from Johnston Street to Ranfurly Street 5) Widening of Johnston Street to 5.5 m sealed width from the intersection with Raleigh Street for the entire road frontage length of Waitara Area D structure plan area. 6) Road Frontage Lots on Raleigh Street to have a minimum ROAD FRONTAGE width of 20m. 7) Roads to vest are as shown in the structure plan. 	closure of the Raleigh Street/State Highway 3 intersection. 3) Subdivision where the speed restriction on Raleigh Street between the Waitara and Johnston Street intersection is more than 50 km/hr.	the area identified as Open Space B b) Provision for adaptive management in the event of the discovery of previously unrecorded archaeological remains; c) Design of planting and landscaping; d) Methods to mitigate effects of , reverse sensitivity with the surrounding rural zone, including the use of no-complaints covenants; e) Provision for the development	 a) The degree to which comprehensive development and integrated management of all the land within Waitara – Area D is able to be achieved when the structure plan area is held in multiple ownership. b) The degree to which infrastructure provisions are coordinated within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area. c) The degree to which site specific characteristics of the Waitara – Area D structure plan have been addressed in the design and layout of the area. d) Whether the INDICATIVE ROAD

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all ac	tivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet permitted	/controlled standa	ards)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
					health indicators for the Mangaiti which benefit from mātauranga Māori and measures to apply adaptive management to respond to the findings of the monitoring; f) Provision for the development of a cultural narrative to inform the development including through cultural expression, integration of te reo Māori (bilingual signage and dual naming) and street furniture.	account the design/layout of Waitara – Area D structure plan area. e) The effect of modifications to the alignment of the INDICATIVE ROADS on the ROAD TRANSPORTATION NETWORK and the connections and linkages desired for the comprehensive development of Waitara – Area D structure plan area. f) The degree to which the activity achieves public access along the Mangaiti. g) The extent to which the design/layout of the INDICATIVE ROADING NETWORK and the

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved g) Measures to reduce the impact of glare on occupants of the dwelling at 81 Raleigh Street from headlights from vehicles exiting the new road at the southern end onto Raleigh Street.	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters Open Space area is integrated. h) Protection of the Mangaiti and its margins is achieved. i) Roading/pedestrian connectivity is provided. j) The extent to which the design of the ROAD TRANSPORTATION NETWORK considers pedestrian safety. k) How the matters over which control under this rule is reserved are given effect to, including full consideration of the activity in relation to these matters.	
						2) Where subdivision will occur prior to physical completion and	

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all ac	tivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet per	rmitted/controlled stands	rds)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
	A Rule Header (Land use consen		tionary if unable to meet permitte	d or controlled standard	s, Subdivision Cons	ents fully discretionary if
unable to mee Rule Number	t permitted or controlled standar Parameter	^r ds). Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
						operation of the upgrade of the intersection of Tate Road/State Highway 3 and closure of the Raleigh Street/State Highway 3 intersection: a) the effect on the safety and efficiency of the intersection of Raleigh Street with State Highway 3; b) Findings of a detailed integrated transport assessment relevant to the traffic environment at the time of application; and, d) How feedback from Waka Kotahi has been incorporated into the integrated transport assessment prepared in (ca) above.

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)								
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
Notified									
S42A Addendu JWS - TKOTA		ts restricted discret	ionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards	s. Subdivision Conse	nts fully discretionary if			
	t permitted or controlled standar				,				
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
						3) Where the speed restriction on Raleigh Street between the Waitara and Johnston Street intersection is more than 50 km/hr: a) the effect on safety and efficiency on Raleigh Street.			
OL60H APPLICANT JWS	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree with 1) and 2) Disagreement with 3), see comments below.	Agree	Agree with 1 and 2. Disagreement with point 3), see comments below.			

Comments:

TKOTA expressed concerns that the neither of the allotment sizes align to existing ResA or ResB, and this has implications for landuse rules. The footnote is intended to provide clarity that the underlying land zoning is Residential A/Open Space B, and that the overlays are placed over top of this zoning to control specific effects in this area of the zone.

TKOTA COMMENT: This provision as above could work if there are amendments to Figure 1 Appendix 33 in line with those amendments in the TKOTA structure plan (attachment A) alongside the earthworks rule proposed as OL60Q below.

JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms			Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
Notified							
S42A Addend					ad atom dand.	S-hdinisian Canaa	
	t permitted or controlled standa		tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntron	eu stanuarus	s, Subarvision Conse	nts runy discretionary n
Rule	Parameter	Conditions	Standards and terms			Matters over	Assessment Criteria
Number		Permitted	Controlled	Dis	cretionary	which control is reserved	COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
In the absenc	e of this then the TKOTA propo	sed wording as an	alternative to OL60H is set out below.				
implement so how this is im Both NPDC c	me findings of a CIA (e.g. from plementing the policy framewo and the applicant consider a con	CHI) into the design rk (both above, and ntrolled pathway is		are l tatus	being assess		it was difficult to see
OL60H	Development and subdivision	1) Development	N/A	1)	Minimum		1) Where the proposed
ТКОТА	within the Waitara – Area D	that is			Allotment		development provides
JWS	structure plan in Appendix 33	undertaken as			size is:		for a comprehensive
	3	part of any subdivision that		1.	350m ² within the		development and or
		has already been			area		comprehensive SUBDIVISION of the
		approved in			marked		structure plan area and
		accordance with			'small		the environmental
		the Waitara –			lots'; or		outcomes including the
		Area D structure		2.			following:
		plan in Appendix			across the		8
		33;			remainder		a) the development
		or			of the		recognises and provides
		2) Where			Waitara		for:
		subdivision has			Area D		i) cultural values; and
		not been			Structure		ii) the protection of
		undertaken the			Plan in		historic heritage; and

Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
			tionary if unable to meet permit Standards and terms Controlled	ted or controlled standard Discretionary	s, Subdivision Cons Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise o its discretion to these
		erection of STRUCTURES and BUILDINGS and associated development work that is in accordance with the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan and meets OL60I to OL60Q and other applicable overlay and Environment Area rules		Appendix 33 2) Minimum average ALLOTMENT size within the Waitara – Area D structure plan in Appendix 33 applies to the areas identified on the Structure Plan as follows: a) Raleigh Street Road Frontage Lots: 660m ² . b) Larger Lots Adjoining Johnston Street and Rural Area:		 matters iii) the on-going relationship of mana whenua with the structure plan area. b) the degree to which the development contributes to the ecological and cultural remediation of th Mangaiti. c) The degree to which comprehensive development and integrated management o all the land within Waitara – Area D is able to be achieved when the structure plan area is held in multiple ownership. d) The degree to which infrastructure provisions are co-ordinated within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area. e) The degree to which site specific

JWS - NPDC	IWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)								
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
Notified S42A Adden	łum								
JWS - TKOT	A Rule Header (Land use conserved)		tionary if unable to meet permitted	d or controlled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if			
unable to me Rule	et permitted or controlled standa Parameter	rds). Conditions	Standards and terms		Matters over	Assessment Criteria			
Number	rarameter	Permitted	Controlled	Discretionary	which control is reserved	COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these			
						matters Waitara – Area D			
				 3) A footpath on the western side of Raleigh Street extending from Johnston Street to Ranfurly Street 4) Widening of Johnston Street to 5.5 m sealed width from the intersection with Raleigh Street for the entire road frontage length of Waitara Area D structure plan area. 		structure plan have been addressed in the design and layout of the area. f) Whether the INDICATIVE ROAD network has taken into account the design/layout of Waitara – Area D structure plan area. g) The effect of modifications to the alignment of the INDICATIVE ROADS on the ROAD TRANSPORTATION NETWORK and the connections and linkages desired for the comprehensive development of Waitara – Area D structure plan area.			
				5) Road Frontage Lots		h) The degree to which the activity achieves			

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)								
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
	A Rule Header (Land use consen		tionary if unable to meet permitted or c	ontrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if			
unable to mee Rule Number	t permitted or controlled standar Parameter	rds). Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these			
				 on Raleigh Street to have a minimum ROAD FRONTAGE width of 20m. 6) Roads to vest are as shown in the structure plan. 7) Subdivision prior to the physical completion and operation of the upgrade of the intersection of Tate Road/State Highway 3 and closure of the Raleigh Street/State 		matterspublic access along the Mangaiti.i) The extent to which the design/layout of theINDICATIVE ROADINGNETWORK and the Open Space area is integrated.j) Protection of the Mangaiti and its margins is achieved.k) Roading/pedestrian connectivity is provided.l) The extent to which the design of the ROAD TRANSPORTATION NETWORK considers pedestrian safety.2) Where subdivision will occur prior to physical completion and operation of the upgrade of the intersection of Tate Road/State Highway 3 and closure of the Raleigh			

IWS _ NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)								
Rule Number	Applicant Rule Header (an ac Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms	er matteu/controlleu stallua	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
	um A Rule Header (Land use consen et permitted or controlled standa		ionary if unable to meet permitte	ed or controlled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if			
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
				Highway 3 intersection. 9) Subdivision where the speed restriction on Raleigh Street between the Waitara and Johnston Street intersection is more than 50 km/hr.		Street/State Highway 3 intersection: a) the effect on the safety and efficiency of the intersection of Raleigh Street with State Highway 3; b) Findings of a detailed integrated transport assessment relevant to the traffic environment at the time of application; and, d) How feedback from Waka Kotahi has been incorporated into the integrated transport assessment prepared in (ca) above.			
						3) Where the speed restriction on Raleigh Street between the Waitara and Johnston Street intersection is more than 50 km/hr:			

JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)								
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
unable to mee		rds).	tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards				
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
						a) the effect on safety and efficiency on Raleigh Street.		
NPDC and ap - NPD subdi discr - Appli	<i>C</i> 's engineers consider the oper vision or development prior to a etionary activity to achieve the cant's opinion is that the North	lating to the speed rational speed of R the posted speed li objective of a safe ernmost intersection	limit on Raleigh Street as follows; aleigh Street in this location will likely mit for the full length of Raleigh Street and efficient transport network. on has been designed/located to comply road at this point, and the access will c	for the Waitara – with the NPDC s	Ârea D Structure H standards in the ON	Plan should be a		
The two optio	ns for this rule reflecting each	opinion for this tra	ffic issue are provided.					
decision is in structure plan with the polic provisions, w development address the a	complete in respect to cultural in a it is not considered that subdiv y direction recommended above hen a road is involved, or any C discretionary to ensure those sp dverse effects raised in the CIA	matters for those re vision as a control e is considered mo Overlay feature (we pecific features/attr and the matters of	omment that on the basis that the inform easons set out in our evidence in chief a led activity is appropriate. A discretion re efficient and effective with respect to tahi tapu, etc), as well as in the Propos vibutes are provided for. The applicant of fational importance including Te Man writes are generally found to be potential	and the CIA. Due ary subdivision po o cultural matters. ed District Plan (and NPDC are re ta o te Wai which	to this, and the limi athway as set out in TKOTA note that u waterbodies) this w lying on several ma is out of step with t	ted changes to the this alternative rule, under current ONPDP could make the overall utters of control to he policy framework of		

JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)									
				ermitted/controlled standa					
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
Notified									
S42A Ad									
			etionary if unable to meet permit	ted or controlled standard	s, Subdivision Cons	ents fully discretionary if			
	meet permitted or controlled sta	andards). Conditions	Standards and terms		Mattang array	Assassment Critania			
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
compound At this po as: 1. 1 2. 1	 (section 95-95E decisions), including mana whenua; this may further limit the active participation of mana whenua in subdivision consent processes for this area, compounding the limited engagement with respect to this proposal to date. At this point it is not clear how that proposed subdivision provision will recognise and provide for the relationship of tangata whenua with the structure plan area as: The fundamental issues with the structure plan remain (Figure 1 Appendix 33); 								
3. 1 c c	 require broader application to be implemented than is possible under a controlled activity framework; and 3. The limiting effect of the applicant's and NPDC's position with respect to Policy 23.11 above on the ability to secure site specific controls with respect to cultural matters at time of subdivision (e.g. via section 221 consent notices or similar). The ability to avoid, remedy or mitigate the actual or potential adverse effects set out in the CIA evidence produced to date would not be able to be provided for under a the rule OL60H set out by the applicant and NPDC. 								
provision evidence where the rules take	ns are going to recognise and pa from 1998 (when the Operative ese rules provide for cultural m	rovide for the relation e District Plan was no atters despite the mat	It the implementation of the Ove ship of tangata whenua with thi tified) through until today where ters of national importance inclu to be a reliance on Zone and Ov	s area. This is across the 2 e there is limited, if any ex uding clear directives to d	Zone and Overlay r camples, of where w o so. TKOTA under	ules where we have ve are able to point to rstand the Overlay zone			

Applicant and NPDC: Disagrees that this proposed rule is the most appropriate to achieve the objectives in the ONPDP, specifically Objective 23 (integrated planning and design). Additional matters of control have been added to the Applicant/NPDC version of Rule OL60H to specifically address the issues and effects raised by TKOTA. To implement and assess the matters of control, further engagement with iwi and hapū would be required in processing any resource consent

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)								
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
Notified									
S42A Addend									
	A Rule Header (Land use consen t permitted or controlled standa		tionary if unable to meet permitted or (controlled standard	ls, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if			
Rule	Parameter	Conditions	Standards and terms		Matters over	Assessment Criteria			
Number		Permitted	Controlled	Discretionary	which control is reserved	COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
avoided, rem Issue raised b	application under the Applicant/NPDC version of Rule OL60H. These matters of control would ensure the policies are implemented and the adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Issue raised by TKOTA in relation to the ineffectiveness of the existing rules is addressed by the additional specific matters of control in the Applicant/NPDC version of Rule OL60H. This new overlay rule takes precedence over the Environment Area rules for subdivision.								
OL60 I									
OL60I Notified	Maximum Number of HABITABLE BUILDINGS on sites within the Waitara- Johnston Street Structure plan area	1	n/a	More than 1	n/a	 The adverse effects of the increased number of HABITABLE DWELLINGS on the SITE on: the character and visual amenity of the area; the privacy and outlook of adjoining SITES; the ability to provide adequate outdoor living space on the SITE or the location of alternate recreation areas; 			

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)								
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards	s, Subdivision Conse				
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
						 OUTSTANDING or REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; and the natural character of the coastal environment or PRIORITY WATERBODIES. The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the use of screening, planting or alternate design. 			
OL60I s42A Addendum	Maximum Number of HABITABLE BUILDINGS on sites within the Waitara- Area D Johnston Street Structure plan area	1	n/a	More than 1	n/a	 The adverse effects of the increased number of HABITABLE DWELLINGS on the SITE on: the character and visual amenity of the area; the privacy and outlook of adjoining SITES; 			

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
			ionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if		
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
						 the ability to provide adequate outdoor living space on the SITE or the location of alternate recreation areas; OUTSTANDING or REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; and the natural character of the coastal environment or Mangaiti Stream PRIORITY WATERBODIES. 2) The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the use of screening, planting or alternate design. 		
OL60I NPDC & Applicant	Maximum Number of HABITABLE BUILDINGS on SITES within the Waitara-	1	n/a	More than 1	n/a	1) The extent to which the increased number of HABITABLE		

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)								
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
Notified									
S42A Addend		ts postriated disord	tionary if unable to meet permitted o	n aantrallad standard	s Subdivision Cons	ants fully disprotionary if			
	et permitted or controlled standa		tionally in unable to meet per initied o	i controncu stanuaru	s, suburvision Conse	ents funy discretionary n			
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters			
JWS	Area D Structure plan area, excluding PAPAKAINGA HOUSING.					BUILDINGs on the SITE will have adverse effects on: a) the character and visual amenity of the area; the privacy and outlook of adjoining SITES; b) the ability to provide adequate outdoor living space on the SITE or the location of alternate recreation areas; c) OUTSTANDING or REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; and d) the natural character of the coastal environment or Mangaiti. 2) The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the use of screening,			

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	nts fully discretionary if	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
						planting or alternate design.	
OL60I TKOTA JWS	Note: Wording 'excluding Papakainga Housing' added to rule at recommendation of TKOTA. Depending on the specific zoning a maximum coverage type provision may be required consistent with those for the ResA/ResB environment areas.	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	As above plus: If commission accepts TKOTA option for policy 23.11 add an additional point to 1): e) cultural values. And add an additional matter; 3) The degree to which the increased number of HABITABLE BUILDINGs gives effect to the cultural narrative plan.	
Comment: Parties note that this rule and associated assessment criteria have not been informed by cultural expertise or a cultural narrative plan.							
OL60J							

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co Standards and terms Controlled	ntrolled standards Discretionary	s, Subdivision Conse Matters over which control is			
Number		i chintteu	Controlled	Discretionary	reserved	restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
OL60J Notified	Maximum HEIGHT of HABITABLE and NON HABITABLE buildings on sites within the Waitara- Johnston Street Structure plan area	6m	n/a	Greater than 6m	n/a	 1) The extent to which the extra HEIGHT of the proposed BUILDING will: adversely affect the character and visual amenity of the surrounding area; reduce privacy of adjoining SITES; have an overbearing effect on SITES within the RESIDENTIAL ENVIRONMENT AREA; adversely affect OUTSTANDING and REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; and adversely affect the natural character of 		

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or	controlled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if		
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
						PRIORITY WATERBODIES. 2) The extent to which topography, planting or set backs can mitigate the adverse effects of extra HEIGHT. 3) The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the use of screening, planting or alternate design.		
OL60J S42A Addendum	Maximum HEIGHT of HABITABLE and NON HABITABLE buildings on sites within the Waitara- Area D Johnston Street Structure plan area	6m	n/a	Greater than 6m	n/a	 The extent to which the extra HEIGHT of the proposed BUILDING will: adversely affect the character and visual amenity of the surrounding area; reduce privacy of adjoining SITES; have an overbearing effect on SITES 		

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ontrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
						 within the RESIDENTIAL ENVIRONMENT AREA; adversely affect OUTSTANDING and REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; and adversely affect the natural character of Mangaiti Stream PRIORITY WATERBODIES. 2) The extent to which topography, planting or set backs can mitigate the adverse effects of extra HEIGHT. 3) The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the use of screening, planting or alternate design. 	

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
			tionary if unable to meet permitted o	r controlled standard	ls, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
OL60J NPDC & Applicant JWS	Maximum HEIGHT of BUILDINGs on SITES within the Waitara- Area D Structure plan area	6m	n/a	Greater than 6m	n/a	 I) The extent to which the extra HEIGHT of the proposed BUILDING will: a) adversely affect the character and visual amenity of the surrounding area; b) reduce privacy of adjoining SITES; c) have an overbearing effect on SITES within the RESIDENTIAL ENVIRONMENT AREA; d) adversely affect OUTSTANDING and REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; and e) adversely affect the natural character of Mangaiti. 2) The extent to which topography, planting or 	

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
						set backs can mitigate the adverse effects of extra HEIGHT. 3) The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the use of screening, planting or alternate design.	
OL60J TKOTA JWS						If commission accepts TKOTA option for policy 23.11 add an additional point to 1): f) Adversely affect cultural values And add an additional matter; 4) The degree to which the increased HEIGHT gives effect to the cultural narrative plan.	

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
	um A Rule Header (Land use conser t permitted or controlled standa		tionary if unable to meet pe	ermitted or controlled standards	s, Subdivision Cons	ents fully discretionary if		
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
Comment: Parties note that this rule and associated assessment criteria have not been informed by cultural expertise or a cultural narrative plan.								
OL60K								
OL60K Notified	Controls on roofing and exterior cladding on HABITABLE and NON HABITABLE buildings on sites within the Waitara- Johnston Street Structure plan area	1)a light reflectivity value (LRV) of 25% or lesser for all roofs; and 2) a light reflectivity value (LRV) of 40% or less for all exterior cladding materials	n/a	1)a light reflectivity value (LRV) of greater than 25% for any roofs (or part of any roof); and 2) a light reflectivity value (LRV) of greater than 40% or less for any exterior cladding materials.	n/a	 1)The extent to which the increased LRV will: adversely affect the character and visual amenity of the surrounding area; and adversely affect OUTSTANDING and REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; 2) The extent to which topography, planting or set backs can mitigate the adverse effects of the increased LRV. 3) The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the use of screening, planting or alternate design. 		

JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ontrolled standards	s, Subdivision Conso	ents fully discretionary if
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
OL60K s42A Addendum	Controls on roofing and exterior cladding on HABITABLE and NON HABITABLE buildings on sites within the Waitara- Area D Structure plan area	1)a light reflectivity value (LRV) of 25% or lesser for all roofs; and 2) a light reflectivity value (LRV) of 40% or less for all exterior cladding materials	n/a	1)a light reflectivity value (LRV) of greater than 25% for any roofs (or part of any roof); and 2) a light reflectivity value (LRV) of greater than 40% or less for any exterior cladding materials.	n/a	 1)The extent to which the increased LRV will: adversely affect the character and visual amenity of the surrounding area; and adversely affect OUTSTANDING and REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; 2) The extent to which topography, planting or set backs can mitigate the adverse effects of the increased LRV. 3) The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the use of screening, planting or alternate design.
OL60K	Controls on roofing and exterior cladding on	1)a light reflectivity value	n/a	1)a light reflectivity	n/a	1)The extent to which the increased LRV will:

Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
	ıdum TA Rule Header (Land use conser eet permitted or controlled standa		tionary if unable to meet permit	ted or controlled standard	s, Subdivision Cons	ents fully discretionary if
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
NDPC & Applicant JWS	BUILDINGS on SITES within the Waitara- Area D Structure plan area	(LRV) of 25% or lesser for all roofs; and 2) a light reflectivity value (LRV) of 40% or less for all exterior cladding materials		value (LRV) of greater than 25% for any roofs (or part of any roof); and 2) a light reflectivity value (LRV) of greater than 40% or less for any exterior cladding materials.		 a) adversely affect the character and visual amenity of the surrounding area; and b) adversely affect OUTSTANDING and REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; 2) The extent to which topography, planting or set backs can mitigate the adverse effects of the increased LRV. 3) The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the use of screening, planting or alternate design.
OL60K TKOTA JWS						If commission accepts TKOTA option for policy 23.11 add an additional point to 1):

JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
			tionary if unable to meet permitted o	or controlled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
						 c) Adversely affect cultural values. And an additional matter: 4) The degree to which the increased LRV gives effect to the cultural narrative plan.
Comment: Parties note th	at this rule and associated assessm	nent criteria have no	ot been informed by cultural expertise (or a cultural narrative	olan.	
OL60L						
OL60L Notified	Reduced Front yard Requirements for areas marked as 'Smaller Lots' within the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan.	Minimum 1.5m front yard	n/a	n/a	n/a	
OL60L S42A Addendum	Reduced Front yard Requirements for areas marked as 'Smaller Lots' within the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan.	Minimum 1.5m front yard	n/a	n/a	n/a	
OL60L NPDC & Applicant	Reduced FRONT YARD Requirements when calculating COVERAGE of the FRONT	Maximum COVERAGE of the FRONT	n/a	As per RES14	n/a	As per RES14

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
Notified							
S42A Addendu							
	A Rule Header (Land use consen t permitted or controlled standa		tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ontrolled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
JWS	YARD for areas marked as 'Smaller Lots' within the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan	YARD of 35% when based on a FRONT YARD depth of 1.5m					
OL60L TKOTA JWS						If commission accepts TKOTA option for policy 23.11 add: 3)The extent to which the decreased front yard will adversely affect cultural values. 4) The degree to which the reduced front yard gives effect to the cultural narrative plan.	
Comment: Parties note the	at this rule and associated assessn	nent criteria have not	t been informed by cultural expertise or a c	cultural narrative p	lan.		

The applicant notes that it is intended that this rule be applied as per Residential A (RESA), which is the underlying zoning sought, and the maximum FRONT YARD coverage rules as detailed in RES14 will apply. This rule is intended to create an exception to the FRONT YARD calculations for the smaller lots in Waitara Area-D, by allowing a reduced front yard depth of 1.5m from the road boundary, instead of 4.5m depth from the road boundary which is standard in RESA.

OL60M

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
	lum A Rule Header (Land use conser et permitted or controlled standa	rds).	· ·	ted or controlled standards		ents fully discretionary if		
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
OL60M Notified	Fencing restrictions for sites within Waitara – Area D Structure Plan.	1) Solid fencing 1.2m in height or less; and 2) no fencing of any sort shall be located on any site between the street and front elevation of its associated HABITABLE DWELLING.	n/a	1) Solid fencing greater than 1.2m in height; and/or 2) any fencing located on any site between the street and front elevation of its associated HABITABLE DWELLING.		 The extent to which the extra HEIGHT of the proposed fence will: adversely affect the character and visual amenity of the surrounding area; reduce privacy of adjoining SITES; have an overbearing effect on SITES within the RESIDENTIAL or RURAL ENVIRONMENT AREA; adversely affect OUTSTANDING and REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; and adversely affect the natural character of PRIORITY WATERBODIES. 		

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
						 2) The extent to which topography, planting or set backs can mitigate the adverse effects of the extra HEIGHT of the fence. 3) The ability to mitigate adverse effects of the proposed fence through the use of screening, planting or alternate design. 	
OL60M S42A Addendum	Fencing restrictions for sites within Waitara – Area D Structure Plan.	1) Solid fencing 1.2m in height or less Fencing is provided in accordance with the Waitara - Area D structure plan; and 2) no fencing of any sort shall be located on any site between the	n/a	1) Solid fencing greater than 1.2m in height Fencing is not in accordance with the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan; and/or 2) any fencing located on any		 The extent to which the extra HEIGHT of the proposed fence will: adversely affect the character and visual amenity of the surrounding area; reduce privacy of adjoining SITES; have an overbearing effect on SITES within the 	

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
			tionary if unable to meet permitted	l or controlled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
		street and front elevation of its associated HABITABLE DWELLING.		site between the street and front elevation of its associated HABITABLE DWELLING.		RESIDENTIAL or RURAL ENVIRONMENT AREA; - adversely affect OUTSTANDING and REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; and - adversely affect the natural character of Mangaiti Stream <u>PRIORITY</u> WATERBODIES. 2) The extent to which topography, planting or set backs can mitigate the adverse effects of the extra HEIGHT of the fence. 3) The ability to mitigate adverse effects of the proposed fence through the use of screening,	

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
			tionary if unable to meet permitted o	or controlled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
						planting or alternate design.	
OL60M NPDC & Applicant JWS	Fencing restrictions for SITES within Waitara – Area D Structure Plan.	1) Post- and rail fencing, 1.2m in height or less along the boundary shown on the Waitara - Area D structure plan; and 2) no fencing of any sort shall be located on any site between the ROAD and a HABITABLE BUILDING.	n/a	1) Fencing exceeds 1.2m in height, and/or is not a post and rail fence, and/or is not in accordance with the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan; and/or 2) any fencing located on any site between the ROAD and the HABITABLE BUILDING.		 The extent to which the extra HEIGHT and/or design of the proposed fence will: a) adversely affect the character and visual amenity of the surrounding area; b) reduce privacy of adjoining SITES; c) have an overbearing effect on SITES within the RESIDENTIAL or RURAL ENVIRONMENT AREA; d) adversely affect OUTSTANDING and REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDSCAPES; and 	

JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
unable to meet	A Rule Header (Land use consen t permitted or controlled standar	·ds).	tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards		
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
						 e) adversely affect the natural character of Mangaiti Stream 2) The extent to which topography, planting or set backs can mitigate the adverse effects of the extra HEIGHT and/or design of the fence. 3) The ability to mitigate adverse effects of the proposed fence through the use of screening, planting or alternate design.
OL60M TKOTA JWS						If commissioner accepts TKOTA option for policy 23.11 add an additional point to 1): f) adversely affect cultural values. And an additional matter:

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all act	tivities Restricted D	viscretionary if unable to meet permitted	controlled standa	rds)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
	A Rule Header (Land use consen		ionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards	, Subdivision Conse	nts fully discretionary if
unable to meet Rule Number	t permitted or controlled standar Parameter	ds). Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
						4) The degree to which the fencing gives effect to the cultural narrative plan.
The applicant n	notes that they have imposed this p	rovision in response	been informed by cultural expertise or a cu to the expert evidence of Mr Bain (Paragro essment prepared by Mr Bain (September 20	uph 20 & 21 of his 1	Evidence in Chief (pa	
OL60N						
OL60N Notified	Controls on Cut and Fill batters where visible from the RURAL ENVRONMENT AREA	 Cut and Fill batters less than Sm in height, or Cut and Fill batters greater than 1.5m in height where designed by an appropriately qualified landscape professional to be battered at a gradient to 	Any other cut and fill batters	n/a	 The revegetation of the batters. The timing within which works and revegetation shall be completed. Mitigation of effects through the use of screening. Planting or alternate design. 	

JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
			ionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	nts fully discretionary if	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
		match gently and smoothly into existing contours.					
OL60N S42A Addendum	Controls on Cut and Fill batters within the Waitara Area D Structure Plan. where visible from the RURAL ENVRONMENT AREA	 Cut and Fill batters less than Sm in height, or Cut and Fill batters greater than 1.5m in height where designed by an appropriately qualified landscape professional to be battered at a gradient to match gently and smoothly into existing contours. 	Any other cut and fill batters	n/a	 The revegetation of the batters. The timing within which works and revegetation shall be completed. Mitigation of effects through the use of screening. Planting or alternate design. Consistency with the natural landform 	n/a	

JWS - NPDC	WS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ontrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if		
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
OL60N NPDC & Applicant JWS	Controls on Cut and Fill batters within the Waitara Area D Structure Plan	1) Cut and Fill batters less than 1.5m in height		Cut and Fill batters 1.5m or more in height	n/a	 Where batters are taller than 1.5m The extent that the batters will be revegetated; The timing within which works and revegetation shall be completed; The mitigation of effects through the use of screening. Planting or alternate design. Consistency with the natural landform 		
OL60N TKOTA JWS	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	If commission accepts TKOTA option for policy 23.11 add: 1)The extent to which the batters will adversely affect cultural values.		

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all ac	tivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet permitted	controlled stands	urds)		
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	nts fully discretionary if	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
						2) The degree to which the batters give effect to the cultural narrative plan.	
Comment: Parties note that this rule and associated assessment criteria have not been informed by cultural expertise or a cultural narrative plan.							
OL60O				· · · · ·			
OL60O Notified	Not proposed						
OL60O S42A Addendum	Stormwater disposal from ROADS, right of ways and paved surfaces as part of development and or SUBDIVISION within the Waitara Area D Structure plan area		Stormwater disposal from ROADS, rights of way and paved surfaces as part of SUBDIVISION is designed so that it discharges into low impact design stormwater systems such as (but not limited to) onsite soak holes, detention ponds, wetlands, vegetated swales, rain gardens, rainwater tanks, soakage pits and soakage holes, filter strips, infiltration trenches/basins, permeable paving, green roofs or tree pits to avoid direct discharges into the stream	Does not meet the standards and terms for a controlled activity	 Matters of control as for rules Res54-64 as they apply to the RESIDENTIAL A ENVIRONMENT AREA The consistency and integration of the design with stormwater management projects within the Norman Catchment. 	 The effects of direct stormwater discharges into the stream on the receiving environment. The effects that the disposal of stormwater into the stream has on the archaeological, waahi tapu, cultural and spiritual values held by TANGATA WHENUA. The ability of an alternative stormwater disposal method to avoid and mitigate minimise the environmental impact of 	

JWS - NPDC	JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
			ionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	nts fully discretionary if		
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters		
						 additional stormwater on flood flows. 5) The extent to and reasons why low impact stormwater design cannot be met. 6) The consistency of the design with stormwater management projects within the Norman Catchment. 7) Whether stormwater management is in accordance with best practice to minimise environmental impact. 		
OL60O NPDC & Applicant JWS	Stormwater disposal from ROADS, right of ways and paved surfaces as part of development and or SUBDIVISION within the		Stormwater disposal from ROADS, rights of way and paved surfaces as part of SUBDIVISION is designed so that it discharges into low impact design stormwater systems ² such	Does not meet the standards and terms for a controlled activity	1) Matters of control as for rules Res54-64 as they apply to the RESIDENTIAL A	1) The effects of direct stormwater discharges into the stream on the receiving environment.		

² NPDC Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure Standard (Local Amendments Version 3)

Rule Number Notified	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise o its discretion to these matters
42A Adden WS - TKO			retionary if unable to meet permitted or co Standards and terms Controlled	ntrolled standard Discretionary	s, Subdivision Conse Matters over which control is reserved	ents fully discretionary if Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise o its discretion to these matters
	Waitara Area D Structure plan area		as (but not limited to) onsite soak holes, detention ponds, wetlands, vegetated swales, rain gardens, rainwater tanks, soakage pits and soakage holes, filter strips, infiltration trenches/basins, permeable paving, green roofs or tree pits to avoid direct discharges into the Mangaiti.		ENVIRONMENT AREA 2) The consistency and integration of the design with the matters set out in Policy 23.10, Policy 23.10A, Policy 23.10B and Policy 23.14 and Policy 23.14A.	 2) The effects that the disposal of stormwater into the Mangaiti has on the archaeological, waah tapu, cultural, traditional historical and spiritual values held by TANGATA WHENUA. 4) The ability of an alternative stormwater disposal method to avoid and mitigate the environmental impact of additional stormwater or flood flows. 5) The extent to and reasons why low impact stormwater design cannobe met. 6) The consistency of th design with the matters so out in Policy 23.10, Policy 23.14 and Policy 23.14A.

JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)							
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
Notified S42A Addend							
		ts restricted discret	ionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	nts fully discretionary if	
	t permitted or controlled standa		v I		,		
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters	
OL60O TKOTA JWS	Agree	Agree	Add avoid structures within the Mangaiti		If commission accepts TKOTA option for policy 23.11 add: 3)Measures to mitigate effects on cultural values; 4) Measures that will give effect to the cultural narrative plan.	If commission accepts TKOTA option for policy 23.11 add: 1) The degree to which the stormwater design will give effect to the cultural narrative plan.	

Comments:

- Parties note that this rule and associated assessment criteria have not been informed by cultural expertise or a cultural narrative plan.
- TKOTA recommend the wording in OL600 to include that structures are avoided within the Mangaiti as a part of the controlled activity parameter (being the bed and the margins of the waterbody). It is noted that the consent for a structure in the bed of a waterbody is the jurisdiction of the Taranaki Regional Council; however it is the role of this District Plan to manage activities on the margin of the Mangaiti; therefore this rule framework should consider these activities/structures in relation to the Mangaiti. This is consistent with the provisions of the Proposed District Plan Waterbodies section. It is also consistent with requirements to provide for integrated management and give effect to Te Mana o te Wai with respect to freshwater in the NPS-FM.
- NPDC/Applicant are concerned that this is within the jurisdiction of the Taranaki Regional Council (TRC). Structures will likely require consent from the TRC under the Regional Freshwater Plan or the National Environmental Standard for Freshwater 2020.

Regional Treshwater Titat of the National Environmental Standard for Treshwater 2020.							
OL60P							
OL60P	Not proposed						
Notified							

JWS - NPDC & Applicant Rule Header (all activities Restricted Discretionary if unable to meet permitted/controlled standards)						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
Notified S42A Addendum JWS - TKOTA Rule Header (Land use consents restricted discretionary if unable to meet permitted or controlled standards, Subdivision Consents fully discretionary if unable to meet permitted or controlled standards).						
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
OL60P S42A Addendum	Vesting of Open Space Area within Waitara Area-D		Area is in accordance with the Waitara Area-D Structure Plan		a) Detailed design of the Open Space Area including: i) Areas of open space and proposed planting, ii) Details of plant species (noting preference for requiring locally indigenous species and a focus on species that provide habitat for taonga and native species),, trail design and surfacing, furniture and any other features; iii) Details of specific features and design elements that have been incorporated	 Where the proposed Open Space Area is not in accordance with the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan, the extent of the non- compliance with the Waitara - Area D structure plan and how this effects the ability for comprehensive development and or comprehensive SUBDIVISION of the structure plan area and the environmental outcomes including the following: The degree to which infrastructure provisions are co-ordinated within the Waitara - Area D structure plan area. The degree to which infrastructure plan area.

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all act	tivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet permitted	/controlled standa	rds)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
	A Rule Header (Land use consen		tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	nts fully discretionary if
	t permitted or controlled standa					
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of
						its discretion to these
					to reflect the cultural narrative of the site, including details of consultation with Otaraua and Manukorihi Hapū in relation to the design, location and form of these features and elements; iv)Detailed plans and sections of the proposed road crossings of the	matters characteristics (including the cultural matters) of the Waitara – Area D structure plan have been addressed in the design and layout of the area. d) Whether the INDICATIVE ROAD network has taken into account the design/layout of Waitara – Area D structure plan area, e) Whether the alternative layout has taken into account the cultural concerns of Manukorihi
					Mangaiti Stream, including culverts and abutments and planting proposed	and Otaraua Hapū, f) The effect of modifications to the alignment of the
					to remediate the stream banks and	INDICATIVE ROADS on the ROAD
					other features	TRANSPORTATION
					required to ensure	NETWORK and the
					an attractive	connections and linkages
					crossing point	desired for the

IWS - NPDC	& Annlicant Rule Header (all ac	tivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet permitted	/controlled standa	ords)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms	Controned Standa	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
					when viewed from the reserve. v) the location of pipework and sewerage infrastructure within the reserve and provision made to avoid, remedy and mitigate potential spills in the event of pipeline breaches, b) Provision for defects liability.	 comprehensive development of Waitara – Area D structure plan area. g) The degree to which the activity achieves public access along the stream. h) The extent to which the design/layout of the INDICATIVE ROADING NETWORK and the Open Space area is integrated. i) Protection of the stream and stream margins is achieved. j) Roading/pedestrian connectivity is provided. h) Procedures to be followed if artefacts are discovered including the provision of an opportunity for on- site monitoring during excavation at installation

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all ac	tivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet permitted	/controlled standa	rds)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
unable to mee		rds).	tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
						by TANGATA WHENUA i)Provision for adaptive management in the event of the discovery of previously unrecorded archaeological remains. j) the degree to which the detailed design matters over which control is reserved under this rule are achieved.
OL60P NPDC & Applicant JWS	Vesting of Open Space Area within Waitara Area-D		Area is in accordance with the Waitara Area-D Structure Plan		 a) Detailed design of the Open Space Area through a co-design process between applicant, Council, TANGATA WHENUA which includes: i) Areas of open space and proposed planting, 	1) Where the proposed Open Space Area is not in accordance with the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan, the extent of the non- compliance with the Waitara – Area D structure plan and how this effects the ability for comprehensive development and or

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all ac	tivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet permittee	l/controlled stand:	ards)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ontrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
					 ii) Details of plant species (requiring locally indigenous species and a focus on species that provide habitat for taonga and native species), trail design and surfacing, furniture and any other features; iii) Details of specific features and design elements that have been incorporated to reflect the cultural narrative of the site, location and form of these features and elements; iv)Detailed plans and sections of 	 comprehensive SUBDIVISION of the structure plan area and the environmental outcomes including the following: a) The degree to which infrastructure provisions are coordinated within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area. b) The degree to which site specific characteristics (including the cultural matters) of the Waitara – Area D structure plan have been addressed in the design and layout of the area. c) Whether the INDICATIVE ROAD network has taken into account the

JWS - NPDC	& Annlicant Rule Header (all act	ivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet permitte	d/controlled stands	ards)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms	arcontroncu sundi	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or c	ontrolled standard	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
					any proposed 3- waters and/or roading infrastructure, including any proposed roads or pathways crossing the Mangaiti, including culverts and abutments (if any) and planting proposed to remediate the stream banks and other features required to ensure an attractive crossing point when viewed from the reserve. v) the location of pipework and sewerage infrastructure within the reserve and provision	design/layout of Waitara – Area D structure plan area, d) Consideration of the outcomes of the co- design process, e) The effect of modifications to the alignment of the INDICATIVE ROADS on the ROAD TRANSPORTATION NETWORK and the connections and linkages desired for the comprehensive development of Waitara – Area D structure plan area. f) The degree to which the activity achieves public access along the Mangaiti. g) The extent to which the design/layout of the INDICATIVE

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all ac	tivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet permitte	d/controlled stand:	ards)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
unable to mee	A Rule Header (Land use consen t permitted or controlled standar	rds).	tionary if unable to meet permitted or c	ontrolled standard		
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
					made to avoid, remedy and mitigate potential spills in the event of pipeline breaches. b) Provision for defects liability.	 ROADING NETWORK and the Open Space area is integrated. h) Protection of the Mangaiti and its margins is achieved. i) Roading/pedestrian connectivity is provided. j) Procedures to be followed if physical archaeology is discovered including the provision of an opportunity for on- site monitoring during excavation by TANGATA WHENUA k)Provision for adaptive management in the event of the discovery of previously unrecorded archaeological remains.

IWC NDDC	R Annihaant Dula Haader (-11	Alenthia Destated T);	4. d/		
Rule Number	& Applicant Rule Header (all ac Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Discretionary if unable to meet permit Standards and terms	ted/controlled stand	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
unable to mee	A Rule Header (Land use consen t permitted or controlled standa	rds).	tionary if unable to meet permitted or	· controlled standard		ents fully discretionary if
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
						 the degree to which the detailed design matters over which control is reserved under this rule are achieved.
OL60P TKOTA JWS					i)-v) could be replaced with the cultural narrative plan	If commission accepts TKOTA option for policy 23.11 add: m) The degree to which the design will give effect to the cultural narrative plan.
	at this rule and associated assessm	nent criteria have no	ot been informed by cultural expertise or	r a cultural narrative p	olan.	
OL60Q						
OL60Q Notified	Not Proposed					1.
OL60Q S42A Addendum	Not Proposed					2.

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Header (all ac	tivities Restricted I	Discretionary if unable to meet permitted	/controlled standa	rds)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
			tionary if unable to meet permitted or co	ntrolled standards	s, Subdivision Conse	ents fully discretionary if
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
OL60Q New Rule proposed by TKOTA JWS	Earthworks that alter (EXCAVATION or FILLING) the existing GROUND LEVEL of Lot 3 DP 446773 as shown in Figure 1 Appendix 33 ³ ; or Any earthworks to implement any subdivision of Lot 3 DP 446773	N/A	N/A	All earthworks		 Consistency with the Waitara Area D Structure Plan. Adaptive Management process to protect/reflect any historic heritage encountered. Consistency with the cultural narrative for the overall development Protection of the Mangaiti from adverse effects which may arise from earthworks. Consistency with an archaeological discovery protocol and archaeological/ cultural construction management plan

³ Contours should be shown on the structure plan in sufficient detail to show existing ground level. This will assist in the application of the OL60N above also

, & Applicant Rule neau	er (all activities Restricted	d Discretionary if unable to meet p	ermitted/controlled stand	ards)	
Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
dum					
FA Rule Header (Land us		retionary if unable to meet permit	ted or controlled standard	ls, Subdivision Cons	ents fully discretionary if
	<i>,</i>	Standards and tarms		Mattar avar	Assessment Criteria
r ar ameter	Permitted	Controlled	Discretionary	which control is reserved	COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
					8. Cultural monitoring during earthworks.
n of historic heritage cann egarding archaeological n scretionary activity status th the policy framework in the specific Lot makes this onsidered through OL60H and acting with respect to a of Mr Bruce acknowledges pite the disruption from la ents to protect historic her is is not well understood in	ot be achieved through sub naterial and the CIA clearly I struggle to see how this m the Proposed District Plan rule a 'sunset' clause whic above as OL60H provides the expert advice regarding s the archaeological record nd use activities like plough titage under the Resource M the District; and this may t for discretionary consent j	division rules with a controlled activ y articulates that there are risks of en heets the requirements of the section for earthworks (policies EW-P2, EW h addresses the bulk earthworks asso for subdivision and associated earthy historic heritage at this time. I in this area is incomplete. As set out hing, historic heritage features assoc fanagement Act 1991 are different to have contributed to the destruction of	countering historic heritag 32 efficiency and effectivent 7-P3 and EW-P4). bociated with subdivision. A works as a controlled activit t in the CIA there is a long of iated with this area, may re those requirements under of historic heritage resource	ge at time of subdivisi ess requirements for t specific rule is requir ty which is not appro- and documented histo- main in-situ beneath the Heritage New Zeo s in the District histo	on. In the absence of a clear he provisions. This is ed, as opposed to reliance on priate given the lack of ory of people living in this that area of disturbance. uland Pouhere Taonga Act. rically.
	dum FA Rule Header (Land uset permitted or controller Parameter DTA's planner (S Mako) manner of historic heritage canner egarding archaeological manner scretionary activity status the policy framework in the specific Lot makes this considered through OL60H and acting with respect to of Mr Bruce acknowledges pite the disruption from la ents to protect historic her is is not well understood in d rule, and the requiremen	dum TA Rule Header (Land use consents restricted discrete permitted or controlled standards). Parameter Conditions Permitted DTA's planner (S Mako) makes the following comment n of historic heritage cannot be achieved through sub egarding archaeological material and the CIA clearly scretionary activity status I struggle to see how this met the policy framework in the Proposed District Plan the specific Lot makes this rule a 'sunset' clause which paid acting with respect to the expert advice regarding of Mr Bruce acknowledges the archaeological records put the disruption from land use activities like plougi ents to protect historic heritage under the Resource M is is not well understood in the District; and this may d rule, and the requirement for discretionary consent	dum CA Rule Header (Land use consents restricted discretionary if unable to meet permitter permitted or controlled standards). Parameter Conditions Permitted Standards and terms Controlled DTA 's planner (S Mako) makes the following comment directly: n of historic heritage cannot be achieved through subdivision rules with a controlled active egarding archaeological material and the CLA clearly articulates that there are risks of en- scretionary activity status I struggle to see how this meets the requirements of the section a- th the policy framework in the Proposed District Plan for earthworks (policies EW-P2, EW the specific Lot makes this rule a 'sunset' clause which addresses the bulk earthworks asso- onsidered through OL60H above as OL60H provides for subdivision and associated earth- und acting with respect to the expert advice regarding historic heritage at this time. of Mr Bruce acknowledges the archaeological record in this area is incomplete. As set ou pite the disruption from land use activities like ploughing, historic heritage features associ- eents to protect historic heritage under the Resource Management Act 1991 are different to is is not well understood in the District; and this may have contributed to the destruction of the set out of the struction of the structin of the struction of the struction of the structin of	dum CARLe Header (Land use consents restricted discretionary if unable to meet permitted or controlled standards). Parameter Conditions Standards and terms Permitted or controlled standards). Permitted Discretionary Parameter Conditions Standards and terms Permitted Controlled Discretionary Parameter Controlled Discretionary Parameter Controlled Discretionary Permitted Controlled controlled activity status. In this instance to tegarding archaeological material and the CIA clearly articulates that there are risks of encountering historic heritage scretionary activity status I struggle to see how this meets the requirements of the section 32 efficiency and effectivenents the the policy framework in the Proposed District Plan for earthworks (policies EW-P2, EW-P3 and EW-P4). the specific Lot makes this rule a 'sunset' clause which addresses the bulk earthworks associated with subdivision. A. onsidered through OL60H above as OL60H provides for subdivision and associated earthworks as a controlled activity and acting with respect to the expert advice regarding historic heritage this time. of Mr Bruce acknowledges the archaeological record in this area is incomplete. As set out in the CIA there is a long optie the disruption from land use activities like ploughing, historic heritage features associated with this area, may re ents to protect historic heritage under the Resource Management Act 1991 are different to those requirements under	Adm Fermitted which control is reserved Adm FA Rule Header (Land use consents restricted discretionary if unable to meet permitted or controlled standards, Subdivision Conseter permitted or controlled standards, Subdivision Conseter permitted or controlled standards, Parameter Conditions Standards and terms Discretionary Matters over which control is reserved Discretionary Permitted Controlled Discretionary Matters over which control is reserved DTA's planner (S Mako) makes the following comment directly: Discretionary Matters over which control is negaring archaeological material and the CLA clearly articulates that there are risks of encountering historic heritage at time of subdivision rules with a controlled activity status. In this instance there is limited evidem agarding archaeological material and the CLA clearly articulates that there are risks of encountering historic heritage at time of subdivisi scretionary activity status I struggle to see how this meets the requirements of the section 32 efficiency and effectiveness requirements for the the policy framework in the Proposed District Plan for earthworks (policies EW-P2, EW-P3 and EW-P4). the specific Lot makes this rule a 'sunset' clause which addresses the bulk earthworks associated with subdivision. A specific rule is require matical and the specific here eagranding historic heritage at this time. of Mr Bruce acknowledges the archaeological record in this area is incomplete. As set out in the CLA there is a long and documented histor in the or ouspect to the expert advice regarding historic heritage features as

JWS - NPDC	& Applicant Rule Heade	r (all activities Restricted	Discretionary if unable to meet p	ermitted/controlled standa	rds)	
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms		Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
Notified						
S42A Addend				4	S-hdininian Come	
	A Rule Header (Land use et permitted or controlled		etionary if unable to meet permit	ted or controlled standards	s, Subdivision Cons	ents fully discretionary if
Rule Number	Parameter	Conditions Permitted	Standards and terms Controlled	Discretionary	Matters over which control is reserved	Assessment Criteria COUNCIL has restricted the exercise of its discretion to these matters
on historic her The existing ru potential effect other proposed In relation to p being well-und working on ind The applicant	itage. Iles in the ONPDP relating ts that TKOTA raise. In add I rules and existing rules a previous destruction of hist lerstand in the district – the creasing awareness and un notes that Mr Bruce's evide ith this rule in its totality, t	g to earthworks (Res44 – Re dition, the new rule above j re considered the most app foric heritage in the district is issue is an implementatic derstanding of these requir ence specifically addresses	es and scale of excavation, which d es 47) manage volume and proximit for subdivision and development (O propriate methods to achieve the ON referred to by TKOTA and the diffe on issue and does not mean the exist rements. the concerns raised by TKOTA, sec contours need to be shown on the S	y of excavation and filling a L60H) provide for an assess NPDP objectives. The requirements under the ting mechanisms are ineffect paragraph 6.1 of Mr Bruce	re effective and effici ment of these matters Heritage New Zeald ive. NPDC and Herit 's Evidence in Chief	ent in managing the s. The combination of the and Pouhere Taonga Act not tage New Zealand have been

*Update the Cross reference matrix: Overlays rules to policies at the end of the Overlays Rules chapter of the Operative District Plan

C: Proposed Appendix 33

	APPENDIX 33 STRUCTURE PLAN (Plan Change 49) Waitara – Area D Structure Plan
Notified	Waitara – Area D Structure rian
42A Addendum	APPENDIX 33 STRUCTURE PLAN (Plan Change 49) Waitara – Area D Structure Plan
	The provision for the subdivision and development of the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan apply specifically to Lot 3 Deposited Plan 446773, as identified in this Appendix, and as identified as a Structure Plan area on planning map B40.
	The Structure Plan guidance notes and associated rule framework (Existing ONPDP Issue 23, Objective 23, Policy 23.1, Method of Implementation 23.1 and Reasons 23.1 and associated rules, and proposed new Policies and Reasons 23.10-14, Policy 23.11, Reasons 23.11, Policy 23.15 and Rules OL60H to OL60P) are intended to provide for the comprehensive development of the site.
JWS	APPENDIX 33 STRUCTURE PLAN (Plan Change 49) Waitara – Area D Structure Plan
	The provision for the subdivision and development of the Waitara – Area D Structure Plan apply specifically to Lot 3 Deposited Plan 446773, as identified in this Appendix, and as identified as a Structure Plan area on planning map B40.
	 The Structure Plan guidance notes and associated rule framework, including; Existing ONPDP Issues, Objectives, Policies, Methods, and associated rules; Policy 23.10A; Policy, Reasons and Methods 23.10; Policy, Reasons and Methods 23.10B; Policy, Reasons and Methods 23.11;
	 Policy and Reasons 23.12; Policies 23.14 and 23.14A and Reasons and Methods 23.14; and,

Rules OL60H to OL60P
are intended to provide for the comprehensive development of the site.
Note: the reference to OL60P above will need updating if the earthworks rule (OL60Q) is accepted.

Figure 1. Waitara Area D – Structure Plan

Revision references for structure plan:

- Notified: Revision 01
- 42A Addendum: Revision 02
- JWS: Revision 04

Structure P	Structure Plan Guidance	
Notified		
S42A	Structure Plan Guidance	
Addendum	 Waitara Area D is made up of one parcel of land and has the Mangaiti an unnamed Stream running through the middle of it. Issue 23, Objective 23, Policy 23.1, Method of Implementation 23.1, Reasons 23.1, Policies 23.10-14, Reasons 23.8-13, and Rules OL60H to OL60P, address comprehensive development and structure plans providing a policy framework to ensure development within a structure plan area is in accordance with the structure plan. A structure plan is a framework to guide the development of an area. It contains maps and concept plans, supported by text explaining the background to the issues and the desired environmental outcomes for an area. Waitara - Area D is being rezoned from RURAL ENVIRONMENT AREA (FUD overlay) to RESIDENTIAL A ENVIRONMENT AREA and OPEN SPACE B ENVIRONMENT AREA . 	
	A structure plan has been developed to promote an understanding of the issues specific to the area and to achieve comprehensive development of the area. The Mangaiti Stream is of cultural and spiritual significance to Otaraua and Manukorihi Hapū. Despite the stream not being listed as WAAHI TAONGA/SITES OF SIGNIFICANCE TO MAORI or ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE in the District Plan, landowners, developers and contractors need to be aware of the requirements of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 and/or any national legislation relating to archaeological sites, should an archaeological find arise during ground disturbance. The stream and the protection of it is therefore recognised and provided for through the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan and also through specific consideration to stormwater disposal. Any consent for earthworks or subdivision within Waitara - Area D shall include reference to the above legislation, and shall include a condition requiring the consent holder to prepare and adhere to an Accidental Discovery Protocol.	
JWS	Structure Plan Guidance A structure plan is a framework to guide the development of an area. It contains maps and concept plans, supported by text explaining the background to the issues and the desired environmental outcomes for an area. Waitara - Area D is being rezoned from RURAL ENVIRONMENT AREA (FUD overlay) to RESIDENTIAL A ENVIRONMENT AREA and OPEN SPACE B ENVIRONMENT AREA . A structure plan has been developed to promote an understanding of the issues specific to the area and to achieve comprehensive development of the area.	

	The Mangaiti is of cultural, traditional, historical and spiritual significance to Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi and Otaraua Hapū. Despite the Mangaiti not being listed as WAAHI TAONGA/SITES OF SIGNIFICANCE TO MAORI or ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE in the District Plan, it is identified as Statutory Acknowledgement to Te Atiawa under the Te Atiawa Claims Settlement Act 2016 and landowners, developers and contractors need to be aware of the requirements of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 and/or any national legislation relating to archaeological sites, should an archaeological find arise during ground disturbance. The Mangaiti and its protection must be recognised and anticipated to be provided for through the Waitara - Area D Structure Plan design and also through site specific policies.
Stormwater	
Notified	
S42A	Stormwater
Addendum	The Mangaiti Stream begins within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area, and runs through the site, entering the NPDC stormwater infrastructure within the Waitara West Industrial Area downstream. This infrastructure discharges at the Waitara Estuary. Because of its undulating topography, soils, climate and relatively small catchment size, Mangaiti Stream can be prone to surface flooding during medium to large scale storm events. Subdivision, and the resulting land uses, can increase the amount of impermeable surfaces within a catchment, increasing levels of stormwater runoff and the potential for flooding. Therefore, when undertaking a subdivision of land, it is important that the stormwater is managed to best practice to as far as practical avoid additional flooding. This is achieved by ensuring that the stormwater discharges to a waterway can cause adverse effects on its natural processes and ecological function. An increase in stormwater discharge could contaminate the waterway or result in the growth of nuisance weeds. Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū have concerns over additional stormwater entering the Mangaiti Stream and polluting and damaging the stream. A solution for this is to have the stormwater discharged into low impact stormwater systems. Low impact design approaches to stormwater management can be simple and effective tools that ensure potential adverse effects on people, property and infrastructure is minimised. If stormwater is discharged into a low impact stormwater system this will ensure that additional stormwater entering the Mangaiti Stream and polluting at damagiti Stream will have a positive effect on the stream health and aims to enhance water quality. The Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū have concerns about the effects of additional stormwater entering the Mangaiti Stream will have a positive effect on the stream health and aims to enhance water quality. Te

The technical stormwater assessments for Waitara – Area D determined that a combination of on-site soakage, disposal to the existing stream (and the NPDC stormwater reticulation network downstream), and stormwater detention (in stream culvert and bund) is the most appropriate way to manage stormwater for the development, resulting in a hydraulically neutral stormwater system.
Stormwater disposal from ROADS, right of ways and paved surfaces is (at the time of plan change) proposed to be discharged via kerb and channel with cut-outs into rain gardens, into underground stormwater pipes and onward into the stream. Alternative options could also be considered at the time of stormwater design, reflecting the latest technology. Within the stream will be a culvert pipe and detention bund to buffer downstream flows. The final ground contour and road network will be designed so that secondary overland flow (surface stormwater greater than a 20% AEP storm event) will naturally drain overland into the stream.
Efficient stormwater design can make the stormwater discharge from Waitara Area D hydraulically neutral by reducing peak flows before they drain north from the area, via onsite soak holes to address stormwater from dwellings and associated impervious areas within an allotment, rain gardens, and detention within the waterway in times of flooding.
The Waitara Community Board has expressed concerns about the low impact systems (Swales and rain gardens) and expressed concern that much of Waitara does not have kerb and channel. The Waitara Community Board has indicated that their strong preference is for a conventional kerb and channel stormwater management system, and this must be balanced with cultural effects. Kerb and channel systems can however operate in conjunction with low impact stormwater treatment options.
Waitara is the subject of a number of stormwater management projects, and future stormwater management projects for the Norman Catchment are likely to have objectives of reducing flooding and improving water quality in this catchment. This policy ensures that the design of any stormwater system for Waitara - Area D considers the objectives of these projects along with providing for the concept of Te Mana o Te Wai.
Mangaiti Stream contains a number of cultural and spiritual values of Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū. Stormwater discharges and modification of the stream function can adversely affect those values. This policy requires consideration to be given to protecting those cultural and spiritual values.
In designing and implementing the stormwater management system, it is important it integrates with outcomes for the transportation and open space/reserve areas. This integration would ensure the long-term sustainable use and development of the land, including the open space/reserve areas.
All structures in and discharges to the Mangaiti Stream are subject to the Taranaki Regional Freshwater Plan, and may require consent under this plan.

JWS	Stormwater
	The Mangaiti begins within the Waitara – Area D structure plan area, and runs through the site, entering the NPDC stormwater infrastructure within the Waitara West Industrial Area downstream. This infrastructure discharges at the Waitara Estuary, a site and area of significance to Manukorihi and Otaraua Hapū. Because of its undulating topography, soils, climate and relatively small catchment size, Mangaiti can be prone to surface flooding during medium to large scale storm events. Subdivision, and the resulting land uses, can increase the amount of impermeable surfaces within a catchment, increasing levels of stormwater runoff and the potential for flooding. Therefore, when undertaking a subdivision of land, it is important that the stormwater is managed to best practice to as far as practical avoid additional flooding. This is achieved by ensuring that the stormwater system installed achieves hydraulic neutrality.
	Direct stormwater discharges to a waterway can cause adverse effects on its natural processes and ecological function. An increase in stormwater discharge could contaminate the waterway or result in the growth of nuisance weeds. Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū have concerns over additional stormwater entering the Mangaiti and polluting and damaging it. A solution for this is to have the stormwater discharged into low impact stormwater systems. Low impact design approaches to stormwater management can be simple and effective tools that ensure potential adverse effects on people, property and infrastructure is minimised. If stormwater is discharged into a low impact stormwater system this will ensure that additional stormwater entering the Mangaiti will have a positive effect on the stream health and aims to enhance water quality.
	Waitara is the subject of a number of stormwater management projects, and future stormwater management projects for the McNaughton Catchment are likely to have objectives of reducing flooding and improving water quality in this catchment. This policy ensures that the design of any stormwater system for Waitara - Area D considers the objectives of these projects along with providing for the concept of Te Mana o Te Wai.
	The Mangaiti is of cultural, traditional, spiritual and historical significance to Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū. Stormwater discharges and modification of the stream function can adversely affect those cultural, traditional, spiritual and historical values. This policy requires consideration to be given to protecting those values.
	In designing and implementing the stormwater management system, it is important it integrates with outcomes for the transportation and open space/reserve areas. This integration would ensure the long-term sustainable use and development of the land, including the open space/reserve areas.
	All structures in and discharges to the Mangaiti are subject to the Taranaki Regional Freshwater Plan and the National Policy Statement for Freshwater, and may require consent under the rules in these documents.
Open Space	B
Notified	

S42A	Open Space B
Addendum	An Open Space B environment area has been placed along the stream margin of the eastern and western boundaries of the Mangaiti Stream unnamed tributary. The reserve will manage and preserve the stream margin as a whole and ensure that the stream remains in one ownership to assist this. Placing the Open Space B Environment Area along the margins of the stream will provide for linkages along the stream, protect and enhance the natural character of the area, protect the waterway and allows the stream edges to be actively managed and maintained.
JWS	Open Space B
	An Open Space B environment area has been placed along the margin of the eastern and western boundaries of the Mangaiti. The reserve will manage and preserve the stream margin as a whole and ensure that the Mangaiti remains in one ownership to assist this. Placing the Open Space B Environment Area along the margins of the Mangaiti will provide for linkages along the stream, protect and enhance the natural character of the area, protect the waterway and allows the stream edges to be actively managed and maintained.
Mangaiti S	tream
Notified	
S42 A	Mangaiti Unnamed Stream
Addendum	Section 6 (e) of the Resource Management 1991 requires councils to recognise and provide for the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga.
	The Mangaiti Stream, a tributary of the Waitara River runs through Waitara Area D. It is entirely within the Open Space B Environment Area which will allow for opportunities to recognise cultural significance of this Stream.
JWS	Mangaiti Stream
	Section 6 (a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 requires councils to recognise and provide for the preservation of the natural character of the coastal environment (including the coastal marine area), wetlands, and lakes and rivers and their margins, and the protection of them from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development.
	Section 6 (d) of the Resource Management Act 1991 requires councils to recognise and provide for the maintenance and enhancement of public access to and along the coastal marine area, lakes, and rivers.

	Section 6 (e) of the Resource Management Act 1991 requires councils to recognise and provide for the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga.
	Section 6 (f) of the Resource Management Act 1991 requires councils to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development.
	Section 7 (a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 requires councils to have regard to the ability to exercise kaitiakitanga.
	Section 8 of the Resource Management Act 1991 requires councils to take into account the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi.
	Policy 1 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 requires that freshwater is managed in a way that gives effect to Te Mana o te Wai.
	The Mangaiti, a tributary of the Waitara River runs through Waitara Area D. The Waitara River and its tributaries are Statutory Acknowledgement to Te Atiawa under the Te Atiawa Claims Settlement Act 2016. It is entirely within the Open Space B Environment Area which will allow for opportunities to recognise and provide for the cultural, traditional, historical and spiritual significance of the Mangaiti.
Archaeolog	gical Discovery Protocol
Notified	Not proposed
S42A	Not Proposed
Addendum	
JWS	Archaeological Discovery Protocol
	The area identified as Waitara Area D is located within Pekapeka, a cultural landscape of national significance, surrounded by known pā, papakāinga and other sites and areas of significance.
	The Mangaiti is of cultural, traditional, historical and spiritual significance to Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū. Despite the Mangaiti not being listed as WAAHI TAONGA/SITES OF SIGNIFICANCE TO MAORI or ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE in the District Plan, it is identified as Statutory Acknowledgement to Te Atiawa under the Te Atiawa Claims Settlement Act 2016 and landowners, developers and contractors need to be aware of the requirements of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 and/or any national legislation relating to archaeological sites, should an archaeological find arise during ground disturbance. <i>Tai Whenua,</i> <i>Tai Tangata, Tai Ao,</i> the Te Atiawa iwi environmental management plan contains specific provisions in relation to sites and areas of

Any consent for earthworks, subdivision and/ or development within Waitara - Area D shall include reference to the above legislation, and shall include a condition requiring the Consent Holder to adhere to the following Archaeological Discovery Protocol:

In the event that taonga (Māori artefacts), burial sites or kōiwi tangata (human remains), or Māori archaeological sites are discovered, the following procedure must be followed. Prior to commencement of any works, a copy of this Archaeological Discovery Protocol (ADP) must be made available to all contractors working on site:

- Work must cease immediately at the site of the discovery and within 200 metres of the discovery site until an appropriate site extent is determined by the relevant Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Regional Archaeologist and iwi groups or kaitiaki representatives including Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū (contact details to be provided and recorded at time of development).
- All machinery immediately at the site of the discovery and within 200 metres of the discovery site must be shut down and the area must be secured. The relevant Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Regional Archaeologist and the Consent Authority must be notified.
- If the site is of Māori origin, the Consent Holder must also notify the appropriate iwi groups or kaitiaki representatives (as above) to ensure site access and to enable appropriate cultural procedures and tikanga to be undertaken to ensure the site is safe, whilst ensuring all statutory requirements under legislation are met (Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014, Protected Objects Act 1975).
- If kōiwi (human remains) are uncovered, the Consent Holder must advise the New Zealand Police, the relevant Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Regional Archaeologist, the Consent Authority and the appropriate iwi groups or kaitiaki representatives (as above). Remains are not to be disturbed or moved until such time as the New Zealand Police, Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, and iwi groups or kaitiaki representatives have responded.
- Works affecting the archaeological site and any kōiwi must not resume until Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga gives written approval for work to continue and/ or the appropriate authority is obtained. Further assessment by an archaeologist may be required.
- Where Te Atiawa Iwi, Manukorihi Hapū and Otaraua Hapū so request, any information recorded as the result of the discovery (such as a description of location and content) must be provided for their records.

Comments: All parties are in agreement on the structure plan guidance.